arXiv:1402.0647v3 [math.AG] 9 Dec 2014

Néron models of jacobians over base schemes of dimension greater than 1

David Holmes

December 10, 2014

Abstract

We investigate to what extent the theory of Néron models of jacobians and of abel-jacobi maps extends to relative curves over base schemes of dimension greater than 1. We give a necessary and sufficient criterion for the existence of a Néron model. We use this to show that, in general, Néron models do not exist even after making a modification or even alteration of the base. On the other hand, we show that Néron models *do* exist outside some codimension-2 locus.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
- 1.1 Idea of the proof: Néron models via the relative Picard functor . . . 5
- 1.2 Applications . . . 5
  - 1.2.1 Height jumping and variations of height . . . 5
  - 1.2.2 The case of universal curves . . . 6
- 1.3 Outline of the paper . . . 6
- 1.4 Acknowledgements . . . 6

2 Definition and basic properties of aligned curves **7**
- 2.1 Graphs with labelled edges . . . 7
- 2.2 Local structure of semistable curves . . . 7
- 2.3 The definition of an aligned curve . . . 10
- 2.4 Examples of aligned and non-aligned curves . . . 10
- 2.5 Local-global for relations between divisors . . . 12
- 2.6 Descent of alignment along proper surjective maps . . . 14

3 Changing the model of C **16**

4 Descent of 'being Cartier' 18

5 Classification of vertical Cartier divisors on certain complete local rings 19
5.1 Reduction to polynomials 19
5.2 Setting up auxiliary rings and Newton polygons 20
5.3 Asymptotic Newton polygons of torsion elements 21
5.4 Newton polygons of crude inverses 23
5.5 Newton polygons of generic units: no intermediate gradients 25
5.6 Proof of the main result on Cartier divisors 26

6 Alignment is equivalent to flatness of closure of unit section in the relative Picard space 27
6.1 Test curves 27
6.2 A natural map to the closure of the unit section 28
6.3 Digression on graph theory 31
6.4 Non-aligned curves, and non-existence of certain Cartier divisors . . 33
6.5 Alignment is equivalent to flatness of the closure of the unit section in the relative Picard space 35

7 Flatness of closure of the unit section in the Picard space is equivalent to existence of a Néron model 38

8 The Néron model is of finite type 42

9 Non-aligned implies multiples of sections do not lift even after proper surjective base change 43

1 Introduction

Let C/S be a proper generically smooth curve over a Dedekind scheme. The jacobian J of the generic fibre of C has a Néron model N/S ([Nér64], [BLR90]). If a point $\sigma \in C(K)$ is given, we obtain a canonical map $\alpha\colon C^{\mathrm{sm}} \to N$ from the smooth locus of C/S to the Néron model; this map is called the *abel-jacobi* map. If moreover C/S is for example semistable, then it is possible to give a very explicit description of the Néron model N and the abel-jacobi map α, using the relative Picard functor of C/S (see for example [BLR90], [Edi98]).

Suppose now that we replace the Dedekind scheme S by an arbitrary regular scheme. The main aim of this paper is to understand to what extent the theory of Néron models and abel-jacobi maps described above carries across into this more

general situation, and in what ways the theory must be modified. First we give a definition of the Néron model[1]:

Definition 1.1. Let S be a scheme and $U \subseteq S$ a scheme-theoretically-dense open subscheme. Let A/U be an abelian scheme. A *Néron lft model* (henceforth *Néron model*) for A over S is a smooth separated algebraic space N/S together with an isomorphism $A \to N_U$ satisfying the following universal property: *let $T \to S$ be a smooth morphism of spaces*[2] *and $f\colon T_U \to A$ any U-morphism. Then there exists a (unique) S-morphism $F\colon T \to N$ such that $F|_U = f$.*

Note that if a Néron model exists then it is unique up to unique isomorphism, and has a canonical structure as a group algebraic space.

Let C/S be a semistable curve which is smooth over a dense open subscheme $U \subseteq S$. Write J for the jacobian of the smooth proper curve C_U/U. If C/S is pointed, a Néron model of the jacobian admits an abel-jacobi map from the smooth locus of C/S (by the universal property). Our first result is essentially negative in nature. We say that such a curve C/S is *aligned* if it satisfies a certain rather strong condition described in terms of the dual graphs of fibres and the local structure of the singularities (definition 2.11). We have

Theorem 1.2 (theorem 6.13, theorem 7.2, proposition 8.1)**.** *Suppose S is regular and separated. We have:*

1. *if the jacobian J/U admits a Néron model over S then C/S is aligned;*
2. *if C is regular and C/S is aligned then the jacobian J/U admits a Néron model over S.*

Moreover, this Néron model is of finite type over S whenever it exists, and its fibrewise-identity-component is an S-scheme.

One consequence of the above theorem is that if C/S is not aligned then no proper regular semistable model of C_U over S is aligned. If C/S is split semistable and smooth over the complement of a strict normal crossings divisor in S then we can determine whether or not a Néron model exists without needing to construct a regular model - see section 3. As a corollary of the above theorem, we have

[1]Perhaps a more conventional (though less general) definition would be to assume S to be integral and to have A an abelian scheme over the generic point of S. However, such A would extend to an abelian scheme over some non-empty open $U \subseteq S$, and an abelian scheme over a regular integral base is automatically the Néron model of its generic fibre. As such, the two definitions are roughly equivalent.

[2]Our non-existence results still hold, with almost the same proofs, if 'space' here is replaced by 'scheme'.

Corollary 1.3 (corollary 7.6)**.** *Let S be a separated excellent scheme, regular in codimension 1. Let $U \subseteq S$ be a dense open regular subscheme, and let C/S a semistable curve which is smooth over U. Then there exists an open subscheme $U \subseteq V \subseteq S$ such that the complement of V in S has codimension at least 2 in S and such that the jacobian of C_U/U admits a finite-type Néron model over S whose fibrewise-identity-component is an S-scheme.*

It is easy to construct examples of non-aligned semistable curves; intuitively, 'most' semistable curves over base schemes of dimension greater than 1 are non-aligned (one sees easily from the definition that a semistable curve over a Dedekind scheme must be aligned).

It is interesting at this point to compare to [Sch12]. Schnell works in a much more difficult setting (variations of Hodge structures and intermediate jacobians rather than semistable curves), and has different requirements for a Néron model. In his section 4.5 he proves a result which makes 'the existence of a Néron model that is Hausdorff as a topological space very unlikely'. It appears that his obstruction to the existence of a Néron model is very close to that considered in this paper, but here (likely because we have a more restrictive definition of a Néron model) it is possible to prove that a Néron model cannot exist.

The question of the existence or otherwise of Néron models over base schemes of higher dimension after alteration of the base is perhaps intrinsically interesting, but also has some more wide-reaching connections. One of the original motivations for this work is the observation that the existence of finite-type Néron models (in the above sense) for semistable jacobians after suitable compactification and alteration of the base would yield in fairly straightforward manner a generalisation of a theorem of Silverman and Tate ([Sil83], [Tat83]) which would in turn imply uniform bounds on the sizes of torsion subgroups of abelian varieties (see [Hol14b]). This is somewhat meaningless as the next result will show that such Néron models do not in general exist. However, it is part of the reason we work hard to understand in detail what the obstructions are to the existence of Néron models over higher dimensional bases after alterations.

Theorem 1.4 (theorem 2.23)**.** *Let S be a locally noetherian scheme, C/S semistable, and $f\colon S' \to S$ a proper morphism with scheme-theoretically-dense image. Then C/S is aligned if and only if f^*C/S' is aligned.*

Combining with theorem 1.2 we see that a non-aligned semistable jacobian does not admit a Néron model even after blowing up or altering the base scheme.

For many applications, rather than needing the full strength of the Néron mapping property, one only needs to extend (a multiple of) a single section of the jacobian J to some semiabelian scheme over S (maybe after an alteration of S). However, it turns out that this does not make the problem easier; in general

extending a section in this way is as hard as constructing a Néron model. We illustrate this in section 9 with an example.

1.1 Idea of the proof: Néron models via the relative Picard functor

Our existence and non-existence results for the Néron model proceed via an auxiliary object, the 'total-degree-zero relative Picard functor' $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ (see section 6.5), a group-algebraic-space. The base-change of $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ to the locus $U \subseteq S$ where C is smooth coincides with the Jacobian of C_U/U. It is also rather easy to show that $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ satisfies the 'existence' part of the Néron mapping property whenever C is regular. However, if C/S has non-irreducible fibres then $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is in general highly non-separated, and for this reason it fails to satisfy the 'uniqueness' part of the Néron mapping property. As such, we wish to construct some kind of 'separated version' of $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, in the hope that this will satisfy the whole Néron mapping property.

Now the failure of separatedness in a group-space is measured by the failure of the unit section to be a closed immersion; as such, a natural way to construct a 'separification' of $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is to quotient by the closure of the unit section. Quotients by *flat* subgroup-spaces always exist in the category of algebraic spaces, but the problem is that the closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ (which we shall refers to as $\bar{e}$) is not in general flat over S, and so this quotient does not exist[3]. By a slightly more delicate argument, one can even show that the existence of a Néron model is *equivalent* to the flatness of $\bar{e}$. The technical heart of this paper is the proof that the flatness of $\bar{e}$ over S is equivalent to the alignment of C/S (our combinatorial condition introduced in section 2); this is carried out in section 5 and section 6.

1.2 Applications

Here we briefly mention two applications of the theory developed in this paper.

1.2.1 Height jumping and variations of height

In [Hai13], Richard Hain defined an invariant associated to a morphism of variations of mixed hodge structures, and conjectured that in certain cases this invariant should be non-negative. In [BHdJ14] we use the theory of Néron models and labelled graphs developed in this paper, along with a study of resistances in electrical

[3]One might be tempted to apply [RG71] to flatten $\bar{e}$ by blowing up S, but note that $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is not quasi-compact over S, and so their results do not apply; indeed, one consequence of our results is that, if C/S is not aligned, then $\bar{e}$ does not become flat after *any* modification of S.

networks, to prove some cases of this conjecture. In the same article, we use the same techniques to give a new proof of a theorem due to Silverman, Tate, Lang, Call and Green ([Sil83], [Tat83], [Lan83], [Cal86], [Gre89]) on the variation of the Néron-Tate height of a section in a family of abelian varieties, by first reducing to the case of jacobians of semistable curves.

1.2.2 The case of universal curves

The universal stable pointed curve over the Deligne-Mumford-Knudsen compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of the moduli stack of curves is never aligned unless $g = 0$ or $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ has dimension less than 2. As such, a Néron model of the universal jacobian does not in general exist. In [Hol14a], we construct a morphism $\beta\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ over which a Néron model of the universal jacobian does exist, and such that β is universal among morphisms with this property.

1.3 Outline of the paper

The basic definitions and constructions are contained in section 2 where we give the definition of an aligned curve. In section 3 we investigate the behaviour of alignment under modifications of C in the 'split semistable' case. The remainder of the paper is devoted to proving the results described in the introduction. Section 4 houses a useful lemma which does not seem to fit elsewhere. The lengthy section 5 is devoted to the proof of a certain technical lemma concerning Cartier divisors on the completed local rings of semistable curves which is needed in section 6. Note that section 5 is only relevant for 'non-existence' results, and is not required for the implication 'aligned $\implies$ existence of Néron model'. Section 6 itself contains the proof that C/S being aligned is equivalent to the closure of the unit section in the relative Picard space of C/S being flat, which in turn is equivalent to the existence of a Néron model (section 7). In section 8 we show that such a Néron model is necessarily of finite type. Finally, section 9 corrects a mistake in a previous version of this paper, as described above.

1.4 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank José Burgos Gil for pointing out a serious mistake in a previous iteration of this work, to thank Hendrik Lenstra for supplying the ideas behind the proof of the main result of section 5, and finally to thank Owen Biesel, Kęstutis Česnavičius, Bas Edixhoven, Ariyan Javanpeykar, Robin de Jong, Qing Liu and Morihiko Saito for helpful comments and discussions.

2 Definition and basic properties of aligned curves

2.1 Graphs with labelled edges

Definition 2.1. We write $\mathbf{Mon}_0$ for the category of commutative monoids with zero, where we require that maps send 0 to 0 and 1 to 1. We usually write monoids multiplicatively.

Definition 2.2. A graph is a triple (V, E, ends) where V and E are sets (vertices and edges), and $\text{ends}\colon E \to (V \times V)/\,\mathrm{S}_2$ is a function, which we think of as assigning to each edge the unordered pair of its endpoints.

Let L be a monoid or set, and Γ a graph. An *edge-labelling* of Γ by L is a function ℓ from the set of edges of Γ to L. We call the pair (Γ, ℓ) a *graph with edges labelled by L*.

We say a graph is *2-vertex-connected* if it is connected and remains connected after deleting any one vertex. When we delete a vertex, we also delete all edges to it. The empty graph is not connected.

Definition 2.3. Let $L \in \mathbf{Mon}_0$ be a monoid, and (H, ℓ) a 2-vertex-connected graph labelled by L. We say (H, ℓ) is *aligned* if for all pairs of edges e, e' there exist positive integers n, n' such that

$$\ell(e)^n = \ell(e')^{n'}.$$

In other words, non-trivial relations should hold between the labels of edges in H.

Let $L \in \mathbf{Mon}_0$ be a monoid, and (G, ℓ) a graph labelled by L. We say G is *aligned* if every 2-vertex connected subgraph of G is aligned (equivalently, if every circuit in G is aligned).

2.2 Local structure of semistable curves

Definition 2.4. Let k be a separably closed field. A *curve over k* is a finitely presented morphism $\pi\colon C \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ such that every irreducible component of C/k is of dimension 1. The curve C/k is called *semistable* if π is proper and for every point $c \in C$, either $C \to k$ is smooth at c, or c has completed local ring isomorphic to $k[[x, y]]/(xy)$ (i.e. only ordinary double point singularities).

Let S be a scheme. A *(semistable) curve over S* is a flat finitely presented morphism $C \to S$, all of whose fibres over points with values in separably closed fields are (semistable) curves.

Remark 2.5. If each instance of 'separably' in the above definition were to be replaced by 'algebraically', the resulting definition would be equivalent to the one given - see [Liu02, 10.3.7].

Proposition 2.6. *Let S be a locally noetherian scheme, C/S a semistable curve, s a geometric point of S, and c a non-smooth geometric point of C lying over s. Then there exists an element α in the maximal ideal of the étale local ring $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$ and an isomorphism of complete local rings*

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}}_{S,s}[[x,y]]}{(xy-\alpha)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}}_{C,c}.$$

The element α is not in general unique, but, the ideal $\alpha\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$ is unique. We call it the singular ideal of c.

Proof. Write $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A) = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$ and $(B, \mathfrak{m}_B) = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{C,c}$, and $\rho : A \to B$ for the canonical map. From the definition of a semistable curve, we know that there is an isomorphism

$$\frac{(\hat{A}/\mathfrak{m}_{\hat{A}}\hat{A})[[x,y]]}{(xy)} \to \hat{B}/\mathfrak{m}_{\hat{A}}\hat{B}.$$

Let u, $v \in \hat{B}$ be any lifts of x and y respectively. We see that $uv \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hat{A}}\hat{B}$, and that $\mathfrak{m}_{\hat{B}} = u\hat{B} + v\hat{B} + \mathfrak{m}_{\hat{A}}\hat{B}$. We now apply [Liu02, lemma 10.3.20] to find an element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hat{A}}$ and an isomorphism

$$\frac{\hat{A}[[x,y]]}{(xy-\alpha)} \to \hat{B}.$$

It remains to see that we may take α in A and that the ideal αA is unique.

Define

$$F := \mathrm{Fit}_1(\Omega^1_{B/A}/B).$$

The morphism $A \to B/F$ is unramified and B/F is local, so by [Sta13, Tag 04GL] we find that $B/F = A/I$ for some ideal $I \triangleleft A$. Now formation of the fitting ideal commutes with completions, and (since S is noetherian) the completion is faithfully flat, so we deduce that $I\hat{A} = \alpha\hat{A}$. Again by faithful flatness, the property of 'bring principal' descends (proposition 4.1); we deduce that I is a principal ideal of A. We choose α to be a generator of it. The uniqueness follows from lemma 2.8. □

Remark 2.7. A similar argument shows that, given a point $s \in S$, a finite separable extension k of the residue field of s, and c a k-valued point of $\mathrm{Sing}(C/S)$ lying over s, we may take the singular ideal of c to be generated by an element in the unique finite étale extension of the henselisation of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ whose residue field is k.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$ be a local ring, α, $\beta \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ elements, and*

$$\varphi : \frac{A[[x,y]]}{(xy-\alpha)} \to \frac{A[[s,t]]}{(st-\beta)}$$

an isomorphism of A-algebras. Then $\alpha A = \beta A$.

Proof. Write

$$R\colon = \frac{A[[x,y]]}{(xy-\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad R'\colon = \frac{A[[s,t]]}{(st-\beta)}.$$

The first fitting ideal of the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{R/A}$ is the ideal $(x,y)\triangleleft R$, and similarly

$$\operatorname{Fit}_1(\Omega^1_{R'/A}/R') = (s,t) \triangleleft R'.$$

Since R and R' are by assumption isomorphic as A modules, the same is true of the quotients

$$R/\operatorname{Fit}_1(\Omega^1_{R/A}/R) \quad \text{and} \quad R'/\operatorname{Fit}_1(\Omega^1_{R'/A}/R'),$$

so we see that

$$A/\alpha = R/(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad A/\beta = R'/(s,t)$$

are isomorphic as A-modules, hence $\alpha A = \beta A$. □

Remark 2.9. If S is not locally noetherian, one can define the singular ideal as the pullback of the fitting ideal of the sheaf of relative differentials (the ideal F_0 from the proof of proposition 2.6). One can then show that this ideal is principal by reducing to the noetherian case. However, most of our results will require that S is even regular, so there seems little to be gained from such additional generality at this stage.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\pi\colon C \to S$ be semistable with (S,s) strictly henselian. Assume that $C \to S$ is smooth over some scheme-theoretically dense open subscheme $U \subseteq S$. Then for all non-smooth points $c \in C$, the singular ideal of c is generated by a non-zero-divisor in $\mathcal{O}_{S,\pi(c)}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ such that $fg = 0$. Writing $Z = V(f,g) \subseteq S$, we have $S = V(f) \cup_Z V(g)$ (a fibred coproduct of schemes). Taking fibre product over S with U, we obtain a push-out of schemes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longleftarrow & U \times_S V(f) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U \times_S V(g) & \longleftarrow & U \times_S Z. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

We see that $U \times_S V(f) = \emptyset$, and so the canonical map $U \times_S V(g) \to U$ is an isomorphism. As such, $U \hookrightarrow S$ factors via the closed immersion $V(g) \rightarrowtail S$, so scheme-theoretic density of U implies $g = 0$. □

2.3 The definition of an aligned curve

The most important definition in this paper is the following:

Definition 2.11. Let S be a locally noetherian scheme and C/S a semistable curve. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and write $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$ for the étale local ring of S at s. Write Γ for the dual graph[4] of the fibre C_s. Let L_s be the monoid of principal ideals of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}$, so $L_s = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s}/(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{et}}_{S,s})^\times$. We label Γ by elements of L_s by assigning to an edge $e \in \Gamma$ the singular ideal $l \in L_s$ of the singular point of C_s associated to e (cf. proposition 2.6).

We say C/S *is aligned at* s if and only if this labelled graph is aligned. We say C/S *is aligned* if it is aligned at s for every geometric point s of S.

Remark 2.12.

1. Let $f\colon T \to S$ be any morphism such that f^*U is scheme-theoretically dense in T. Let t be a geometric point of T, lying over a geometric point s of S. Then the labelled graph Γ_t of C_T over t has the same underlying graph as that of C over s, and the labels on Γ_t are obtained by pulling back those on Γ_s along f. One can see this for example by using the construction of the singular ideal in terms of the fitting ideal of the sheaf of relative differentials, whose formation is well-behaved under base-change.

2. The property of 'being aligned' is fppf-local on the target, i.e. it is preserved under flat base-change (cf. remark 1) and satisfies fppf descent.

3. Given S locally noetherian and integral, and C/S semistable and generically smooth, one sees easily that there exists an open subscheme $V \subseteq S$ such that C_V/V is aligned and such that the closed subscheme $S - V$ has codimension at least 2 in S.

2.4 Examples of aligned and non-aligned curves

Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$. We give two semistable curves C_1, C_2 over S, with the same closed fibre, and with C_1 aligned and C_2 non-aligned.

Example 2.13 (The aligned curve C_1). We define C_1 to be the S-scheme cut out in weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_S(1,1,2)$ (with affine coordinates x, y) by the equation

$$y^2 = (x-1)(x-1-t)(x+1)(x+1+t).$$

This is naturally the pullback of the curve over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ defined by the same equation inside $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}$ along the natural map $S \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$. The closed fibre is a 2-gon, and the labelled dual graph is

[4] Defined as in [Liu02, 10.3.17].



The relation $(t) = (t)$ holds, implying that C_1/S is aligned (there is only one circuit in the dual graph, with only two edges, so there is only one condition to check).

Example 2.14 (The non-aligned curve C_2). We define C_2 to be the S-scheme cut out in weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_S(1, 1, 2)$ (with affine coordinates x, y) by the equation

$$y^2 = (x - 1)(x - 1 - s)(x + 1)(x + 1 + t).$$

This does not arise as the pullback of a curve over any trait. The closed fibre is a 2-gon, and the labelled dual graph is



There do not exist positive integers m and n such that the relation $(s)^m = (t)^n$ holds. As (s) and (t) appear on the same circuit, this implies that the curve is not aligned.

Remark 2.15. Let C/S be a semistable curve, and let s a geometric point of S. Suppose firstly that the fibre over s is 2-vertex-connected (for example an n-gon for some n). Then C/S being aligned at s means roughly that C/S looks (locally

at s) as if it is the pullback of a semistable curve over some trait (this is only a heuristic, and cannot be used as a substitute definition). Suppose on the other hand that C/S is of compact type; then it is automatically aligned. In both of these situations, it seems perhaps plausible that a Néron model can exist. In general, being aligned can be thought of as some kind of common generalisation of these two situations.

2.5 Local-global for relations between divisors

This section contains various lemmas we will need for proving that both being aligned and being non-aligned are preserved under alterations (theorem 2.23).

Lemma 2.16. *Let X be a connected noetherian scheme, and p, $q \in X$. Then there exist points $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$ with $x_1 = p$, $x_n = q$, and for all i, either $x_i \in \overline{\{x_{i+1}\}}$ or $x_{i+1} \in \overline{\{x_i\}}$.*

Proof. Since X is noetherian it has finitely many irreducible components, so we are done by [Sta13, Tag 0904]. □

Definition 2.17. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the set of submonoids of the monoid[5] $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$. Given an element $M \in \mathfrak{S}$, we define the *saturation* of M to be the set of all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $am \in M$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. We remark that if M is cyclic then so is its saturation.

Given a scheme X and two effective Cartier divisors D, E on X, we define a function

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta = \zeta_{D,E} \colon X &\to \mathfrak{S} \\ x &\mapsto \{(m,n) | mD = nE \text{ locally at } x\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Note that a relation holds Zariski-locally if and only if it holds fppf-locally.

Lemma 2.18. *If $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ then $\zeta(x) \subseteq \zeta(y)$.*

Proof. Say $mD = nE$ holds locally at x. Then the same relation holds on some Zariski neighbourhood U of x, and U is also a Zariski neighbourhood of y. □

Lemma 2.19. *Let $x \in X$ lie in the union of the supports of D and E. Then the monoid $\zeta(x)$ is cyclic.*

Proof. Suppose not, and let (m_1, n_1) and (m_2, n_2) be elements of $\zeta(x)$ which are not contained in the same cyclic submonoid. Then $\zeta(x)$ contains

$$m_2(m_1, n_1) - m_1(m_2, n_2) = (0, m_2 n_1 - m_1 n_2),$$

[5]For us $\mathbb{N}_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \geq 0\}$. Recall that for us 'monoid' means 'monoid with zero'.

and $m_2 n_1 - m_1 n_2 \neq 0$, so $E = 0$ locally at x. The same argument applied to $n_2(m_1, n_1) - n_1(m_2, n_2)$ shows that D vanishes locally at x, but this contradicts our assumption that x lies in the union of the supports of D and E. □

Lemma 2.20. *Let x, $y \in X$ with*

1. *$x \in \overline{\{y\}}$;*
2. *x and y both lie in the union of the supports of D and E;*
3. *$\zeta(x)$ and $\zeta(y)$ are both unequal to $\{(0,0)\}$.*

Then the saturations of $\zeta(x)$ and $\zeta(y)$ are equal.

Proof. We have $\zeta(x) \subseteq \zeta(y)$ (lemma 2.18), both are cyclic (lemma 2.19), and so their saturations coincide. □

Combining the above results, we obtain:

Lemma 2.21. *Let X be a noetherian scheme, and D, E as above and such that $\operatorname{Supp} D \cup \operatorname{Supp} E$ is connected. Suppose that for all $x \in X$ we have that $\zeta(x) \neq \{(0,0)\}$ (i.e. non-trivial relations hold between D and E everywhere locally). Then there exist integers m, n with $(m,n) \neq (0,0)$ and $mD = nE$ holds (globally on X).*

Proof. Let $S := \operatorname{Supp} D \cup \operatorname{Supp} E$. By quasi-compactness, we find

1. a finite open cover U_i $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ of X with $U_i \cap S$ non-empty for all i;
2. for each i, a point $p_i \in U_i \cap S$;
3. for each i, integers m_i, n_i with $(m_i, n_i) \neq (0,0)$ such that for all i, $n_i D = m_i E$ holds on U_i.

We want to show that some non-trivial relation holds between D and E on the whole of X. For any i and j, we find a chain of specialisations joining p_i and p_j (by lemma 2.16), and so the saturations of $\zeta(p_i)$ and $\zeta(p_j)$ coincide (lemma 2.20). Now if a finite collection of cyclic monoids in $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ all have the same saturation (and are not equal to $\{(0,0)\}$) then the monoids must contain a common non-zero element. This element is the relation we wanted. □

2.6 Descent of alignment along proper surjective maps

The aim of this section is to show that 'being aligned' is preserved under and descends along proper surjective base change (e.g. under alterations). This is theorem 2.23. First we need an easy lemma:

Lemma 2.22. *Let $f\colon (G, \ell_1\colon E \to L_1) \to (G, \ell_2\colon E \to L_2)$ a morphism of graphs labelled by monoids, where the underlying graphs are the same (and the map on graphs is the identity). Suppose (G, ℓ_1) is aligned. Then (G, ℓ_2) is aligned.*

The converse implication is easily seen to be false.

Proof. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a 2-vertex-connected subgraph, and let e, e' be two edges in H. The map f induces (by definition) a monoid map $f_L\colon L_1 \to L_2$, and $\ell_2(e)$ and $\ell_2(e')$ must be in its image. By assumption that (G, L_1) is aligned, we know that $\ell_1(e)^m = \ell_1(e')^n$ for some m, $n > 0$, and we find that $\ell_2(e)^n = \ell_2(e')^m$ since f_L is a monoid map. □

The main result of this section:

Theorem 2.23. *Let S locally noetherian, $C \to S$ semistable and smooth over some scheme-theoretically-dense open $U \subseteq S$. Let $f\colon S' \to S$ a proper map whose scheme-theoretic image is S. Write C'/S' for the pullback of C. Then C/S is aligned if and only if C'/S' is aligned.*

Proof. Suppose C/S is aligned, and let $s' \in S'$ lie over $s \in S$. There is a natural isomorphism $\Gamma_s \to \Gamma_{s'}$, and a natural map $L_s \to L_{s'}$, where $L_s = \mathcal{O}^{\text{et}}_{S,s}/(\mathcal{O}^{\text{et}}_{S,s})^\times$ etc. Together these induce a morphism of labelled graphs $(\Gamma_s, L_s) \to (\Gamma_{s'}, L_{s'})$, and we are done by lemma 2.22.

Conversely, suppose C'/S' is aligned. We want to show that the same holds for C/S. We may assume that S is strictly henselian, with geometric closed point s. Fix a circuit γ in the dual graph of the fibre over s, and two labelling divisors D and E that appear in that circuit. We will show that some non-trivial relation holds between D and E.

Without loss of generality, we may assume S' is connected. Considering the Stein factorisation of $S' \to S$, and the fact that S is strictly henselian, we deduce that the fibre S'_s is connected [Sta13, Theorem 03QH]. This in turn implies that the union $\operatorname{Supp} f^*D \cup \operatorname{Supp} f^*E$ of the supports of the pullbacks f^*D, f^*E is also connected, since it contains the closed fibre S'_s, and every irreducible component of each of f^*D, f^*E meets the closed fibre.

Now by the assumption that C'/S' is aligned we find that, locally at every point in S', a non-trivial relation holds between f^*D and f^*E. A-priori this does not imply that a non-trivial relation holds globally on S', but using connectedness we

can apply lemma 2.21 to deduce that, for some $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$ we have $mf^*D = nf^*E$ globally on S'. By lemma 2.24 this implies that the same non-trivial relation holds on S: we have $mD = nE$. □

We show that the pull-back map on Cartier divisors (*not* up to linear equivalence) is injective:

Lemma 2.24. *Let S be a locally Noetherian scheme, $f\colon S' \to S$ a proper morphism whose scheme theoretic image is S (i.e. f does not factor via a non-trivial closed immersion). Let $U \subseteq S$ be a scheme-theoretically dense open subscheme such that $f^{-1}U$ is scheme-theoretically dense in S'.*

Let D and E be effective Cartier divisors on S, supported on $S \setminus U$ (so f^*D and f^*E are again Cartier divisors) and such that $f^*D = f^*E$. Then $D = E$.

Proof. We may assume S is affine local with coordinate ring R, so D and E are given by the vanishing of some regular elements r, $s \in R$. By the condition that $f^{-1}U$ is scheme-theoretically dense in S', we see that f^*r and f^*s are regular. The condition that $f^*D = f^*E$ is then equivalent to the condition that f^*r and f^*s differ by multiplication by a unit in $A := \mathrm{H}^0(S', \mathcal{O}_{S'})$. Let $a \in A$ be such a unit, so $a \cdot f^*s = f^*r$.

Since f is proper, we have that A is finite as an R-module. I claim that the canonical map $R \to A$ is injective; let K denote its kernel, then we know that f factors via the closed immersion opposite to $R \to R/K$, and so the assumption that the image of f be scheme-theoretically dense in S implies that $K = 0$.

The situation is the following: we have a noetherian ring R, an injective ring homomorphism $R \to A$ such that A is finite as an R-module, and elements r, $s \in R$ and a unit $a \in A^\times$ such that $as = r$. We want to conclude that s and r differ by multiplication by a unit in R. This follows from lemma 2.25. □

Lemma 2.25. *Let R be a noetherian ring, and $R \to A$ an injective ring homomorphism such that A is finite as an R-module. Let r, $s \in R$ be elements which are non-zero-divisors in A. Let $a \in A^\times$ a unit such that $as = r$. Then $a \in R^\times$.*

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{R} := \frac{R[t]}{(st - r)},$$

and let $g : \tilde{R} \to A$ be the map sending t to a. I claim that g is injective; let $c_i \in R$, and suppose that

$$g\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i t^i\right) = 0.$$

Then in A we have the equalities

$$0 = g\left(s^n \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i t^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i s^{n-i} r^i,$$

so by injectivity of the map $R \to A$ we see that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i s^{n-i} r^i = 0$ in R, so $s^n \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i t^i = 0$ in $\tilde{R}$, and since s is a non-zero divisor in $\tilde{R}$ (since it is in A), we see that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i t^i = 0$ in $\tilde{R}$, and we are done with injectivity.

Now since A is finitely generated over R and R is noetherian, we see that $\tilde{R}$ is also finitely generated as an R-module. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ generate $\tilde{R}$ as an R-module. For each i, pick a non-negative integer k_i such that $s^{k_i} x_i \in R$. We may assume $k_1 = \cdots = k_n =: k$. Then for all $x \in \tilde{R}$, we have that $s^k x \in R$. In particular, we find that there exists $y \in R$ such that $s^k t^{k+1} = y$ (equality in $\tilde{R}$). Hence $r^k t = y$.

I now claim: if for some integer $n > 0$ and element $z \in R$ we have that $r^n t = z$ in $\tilde{R}$, then there is an element $z' \in R$ such that $r^{n-1} t = z'$ in $\tilde{R}$. To see this, note that we have $r^n t - z \in (st - r)$ inside $R[t]$, so for some element $p = p_0 + t\tilde{p} \in R[t]$ (with $p_0 \in R$) we have $r^n t - z = p(st - r)$. Comparing coefficients of t^0, we see that $z = p_0 r$, so $r^n t = p_0 r$. Since r is a non-zero-divisor, this implies that $r^{n-1} t = p_0$, and setting $z' = p_0$ we are done with the claim.

Recall that we have $r^k t = y$ for some $y \in R$. Applying the claim in the previous paragraph (and induction), we find that there is some $y' \in R$ such that the equation $t = y'$ holds in $\tilde{R}$. This means that $sy' = r$ in R, and hence the same equality holds in A. We also have $sa = r$ in A, so using that s is a non-zerodivisor we get that $a = y'$, and $a \in R$. We can repeat this argument with r and s swapped over (and a replaced by a^{-1}) to deduce that a is a unit in R. □

3 Changing the model of C

Definition 3.1. Let S be a scheme, and $U \subseteq S$ a scheme-theoretically-dense open subscheme. Let C/U be a smooth proper curve. A *model* of C over S is a proper flat curve $\bar{C}/S$ together with a U-isomorphism $(\bar{C})_U \to C$.

Form 1.2 we know that

- if C has a semistable non-aligned model over S then the jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}_{C/U}$ has no Néron model over S;
- if C has a regular semistable aligned model over S then the jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}_{C/U}$ does have a Néron model over S.

What can we say about the existence of a Néron model if we are presented with a semistable aligned model of C which is not regular? We are unable to answer this

question in complete generality as our method of producing Néron models for the jacobian requires a regular model of C, which is not known to exist. On the other hand, suppose that U is the complement of a divisor with strict normal crossings and that $\bar{C}/S$ is split semistable in the sense of [dJ96, 2.22][6]. Then we will see in this section how to determine from the labelled graphs of $\bar{C}/S$ whether or not the jacobian admits a Néron model.

Before going the general theory, let us consider an example. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[u, v]]$, and define $\bar{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_S(1, 1, 2)$ by he affine equation

$$y^2 = (x - 1)(x - 1 - uv)(x + 1)(x + 1 + uv).$$

This is split semistable and smooth over the open subset $U := S \setminus (uv = 0)$. The labelled graph of $\bar{C}$ at the closed point of S is a 2-gon, and both edges are labelled by (uv). As such, the graph is aligned, but clearly $\bar{C}$ is not regular. After some blowups we obtain a new model $\tilde{C}$ of $C := \bar{C}_U$, with labelled graph over the closed point of s a 4-gon with two labels equal to (u) and two labels equal to (v). Clearly this is not aligned, and so the jacobian of C does not admit a Néron model over S.

To generalise this calculation, we need some definitions.

Definition 3.2. Let G be a set and $M(G)$ be the free (commutative) monoid on G. Given a graph Γ with an edge-labelling by non-zero elements of $M(G)$, we say Γ is *regular* if every label lies in G. A *refinement* of Γ is a new $M(G)$-labelled graph Γ' obtained from Γ by replacing an edge with label $\prod_{g \in G} g^{\epsilon_g}$ by a chain of $\sum_{g \in G} \epsilon_g$ edges, with ϵ_g of those edges labelled by g for every g in G.

We do not specify the order of the labels on the new edges, so refinements are in general very non-unique. It is clear that every graph Γ becomes regular after a finite sequence of refinement operations.

Definition 3.3. We say an $M(G)$-labelled graph Γ' is *a regularisation* of Γ if Γ' is regular and can be obtained from Γ by a finite sequence of refinements.

Definition 3.4. Let S be a regular scheme, and C/S a semistable curve. Let s be a geometric point of S. Let Γ_s be the labelled graph of C over s, so its labels lie in $\mathcal{O}^{\text{et}}_{S,s}/(\mathcal{O}^{\text{et}}_{S,s})^\times$, which is the free abelian monoid on the height 1 prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{et}}_{S,s}$ (since S is regular). We say C/S is *strictly aligned* at s if one (equivalently all) regularisations of Γ_s are aligned. We say C/S is *strictly aligned* if it is so at every geometric point of S.

Remark 3.5. 1. If C/S is strictly aligned then it is aligned.

[6] I.e. for all field-valued fibres of $\bar{C}/S$ we have that all the irreducible components of are geometrically irreducible and smooth, and that all singular points of are rational.

2. If C is regular then all the graphs Γ_s are automatically regular, so that C/S is aligned if and only if it is strictly aligned.

Proposition 3.6. *Let S be a regular separated scheme, let $U \subseteq S$ be a dense open subscheme which is the complement of a strict normal crossings divisor. Let C/S be a split semistable curve which is smooth over U. Then the jacobian of C_U/U has a Néron model over S if and only if C/S is strictly aligned.*

Proof. By [dJ96, 5.6] we know that C/S admits a resolution of singularities; more precisely, there exists a proper S-morphism $\tilde{C} \to C$ which is an isomorphism over U and such that $\tilde{C}$ is split semistable and regular. Following through the steps in de Jong's proof, we find that for all geometric points s of S, the labelled graph of $\tilde{C}$ over s is a regularisation of the labelled graph of C over s. □

4 Descent of 'being Cartier'

Given an integral Noetherian scheme S, a point $s \in S$, and a Weil divisor D on S, we say D is *Cartier at s* if there exists a Zariski open neighbourhood of s such that the restriction of D to that neighbourhood is Cartier. One sees easily that the flat pullback of a Cartier divisor is again Cartier. If $\varphi\colon S' \to S$ is faithfully flat, then the converse also holds. More precisely, we have:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\varphi\colon S' \to S$ be flat, and let $s' \in S'$ lie over s. In the above notation, the following are equivalent:*

1. *the divisor D is Cartier at s;*
2. *the divisor $\varphi^* D$ is Cartier at s'.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $1 \implies 2$. Conversely, suppose the pullback $\varphi^* D$ is Cartier at s'; we must show D is Cartier at s. We may assume $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine, and then there exists an effective Cartier divisor E on S such that $E \geq D$. Then certainly $\varphi^* E$ is Cartier, and $\varphi^*(E - D)$ is effective and Cartier. In this way, we reduce to the case where D is an effective (Weil) divisor.

We write $\mathbf{D}$ for the (in general non-reduced) closed subscheme of S associated to D. Let $I = (d_1, \cdots, d_n) \subseteq R$ be the corresponding ideal. We must show I is locally principal. We may assume R local; then we must show I is principal. Let $R' = \mathcal{O}_{S',s'}$ be the local ring of S' at s'; then $R \to R'$ is faithfully flat, and we know that I becomes principal in R', say $IR' = gR'$ some $g \in R'$. Write $d_i = ge_i$ some $e_i \in R'$. We see that at least one of the e_i must be a unit in R', otherwise all the e_i are contained in the maximal ideal of R', and a contradiction follows from Nakayama's lemma. Let us suppose $e_1 \in R'^{\times}$. Then for all $i > 1$ we have that

$d_i = d_1 e_i/e_1$. I claim that in fact every $d_i \in d_1 R$, from which our claim follows. To see this, note that the natural map

$$f\colon R \to R[t]/(d_i - td_1)$$

becomes an isomorphism after tensoring over R with R' (an inverse is given by sending t to e_i/e_1), and hence by faithful flatness that map f must itself be an isomorphism. Letting $t' = f^{-1}t$, we see that $d_i = t'd_1$ as desired. □

5 Classification of vertical Cartier divisors on certain complete local rings

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$ be a local $\mathfrak{m}_R$-adicly complete regular domain. Let $r \in R$ a regular (i.e. non-zero) element. Let $A = R[[x, y]]/(xy - r)$. Then A is also a complete local noetherian domain ([Gro65, 7.8.3, page 215]). Our aim is to classify the principal ideals of A which become trivial after base-change over R to $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Frac} R$. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $a \in A$ an element such that $a \otimes 1$ is a unit in $A \otimes_R K$. Then there exist*

- *an element $s \in R$;*
- *non-negative integers m, n such that $mn = 0$;*
- *a unit $u \in A^\times$;*

such that $a = sx^n y^m u$.

Note that $A \otimes_R K \neq K[[x, y]]/(xy - r)$, the latter being the zero ring. It seems natural to ask whether the theorem still holds if R is not assumed to be regular; the author does not know whether this is the case. The only place in the proof where we use regularity is in section 5.5, to deduce that every element can be 'normalised'.

5.1 Reduction to polynomials

Write $\tilde{A}$ for the localisation of $\frac{R[x,y]}{(xy-r)}$ at the maximal ideal $(x, y) + \mathfrak{m}_R$, so that A is the completion of $\tilde{A}$ at its maximal ideal, and write $\varphi : \tilde{A} \to A$ for the canonical injection. Write $\operatorname{Div} A$ for the free group generated by height 1 prime ideals of A (Weil divisors of $\operatorname{Spec} A$), and similarly for $\tilde{A}$.

Lemma 5.2. *The map $\varphi^* : \operatorname{Div} A \to \operatorname{Div} \tilde{A}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let q be a height 1 prime ideal of R. If $r \notin q$ then qA and $q\tilde{A}$ are prime ideals of height 1 (by faithful flatness of the completion). If $r \in q$ then there are exactly two height 1 prime ideals of A above q, namely $q + xA$ and $q + yA$, and similarly for $\tilde{A}$. As such, φ^* induces a bijection on the height 1 primes. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $a \in A$. Then there exists $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ and a unit $u \in A^\times$ such that $a = u\tilde{a}$.*

Proof. We need to find an element $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ such that $aA = \tilde{a}A$; equivalently, such that we have an equality of Weil divisors $\operatorname{div}_A \tilde{a} = \operatorname{div}_A a$. By lemma 5.2 we find that there is a Weil divisor D on $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A}$ such that $\varphi^* D = \operatorname{div}_A a$. It is therefore enough to show that this Weil divisor D of $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A}$ is Cartier.

Since A is the completion of $\tilde{A}$ at a finitely generated ideal, we see that $\tilde{A} \to A$ is faithfully flat, and since $\varphi^* D$ is Cartier it follows from proposition 4.1 that D is Cartier. □

5.2 Setting up auxiliary rings and Newton polygons

Let W denote the set of series $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i T^i$ where $w_i \in K$ and T is a formal variable. The set W is naturally an abelian group under addition of series, but does not have a natural ring structure. It is a module over the ring $K[T]$, with action the usual multiplication.

Let $F \subseteq W$ be defined by

$$F = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i T^i : \exists b \in R \text{ s.t. } [\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, bw_i \in R] \text{ and } [\forall i > 0, bw_{-i} \in r^i R] \right\}.$$

This set F has a natural multiplication since R is complete with respect to its maximal ideal and hence also r-adically complete. Moreover, F is even a field - apply standard arguments with power series.

There is a natural map $A \to W$ sending x to T and y to r/T. The image of this natural map is clearly contained in F - in particular, this proves that A is a domain. Moreover, one easily sees that F is the field of fractions of A.

From now on, we will often confuse elements of A with their images in W under this canonical map.

Definition 5.4. Given an element $w = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i T^i$ of W, and a discrete (rank 1) valuation v on K we define the *right Newton polygon* $\mathrm{NP}_v^r(w)$ to be the lower convex hull of the set $\{(i, v(w_i)) : i \geq 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we define the *left Newton polygon* $\mathrm{NP}_v^l(w)$ to be the lower convex hull of the set $\{(i, v(w_i)) : i \leq 0\}$

in $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}$. We say a right (left) Newton polygon is *good* if it does not contain the whole of $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}$ (the whole of $\mathbb{R}_{<0} \times \mathbb{R}$). Many elements do not have good Newton polygons.

Definition 5.5. Given a discrete rank 1 valuation v on K, we define the *right v-integral line* in $\mathbb{R}^2$ to be the infinite closed ray pointing horizontally to the right from $(0, 0)$. We define the *left v-integral line* in $\mathbb{R}^2$ to be the infinite closed ray pointing to the left with gradient $-v(r)$. We define the v-integral line to be the union of the left and right v-integral lines.

Notice that an element of W lies in A if and only if for every discrete rank 1 valuation v of R, its right newton polygon is never below the right v-integral line and its left Newton polygon is never below the left v-integral line. A similar description can be given for F, replacing 'never below' by 'only below by a bounded amount'. In particular, these elements have good Newton polygons.

5.3 Asymptotic Newton polygons of torsion elements

The polynomial ring $K[T]$ acts naturally on W. Given an element $f = \sum_{i=n_0}^{n} f_i T^i \in K[T]$ (say with f_n and f_{n_0} non zero), it will be important later on to understand the left and right Newton polygons of the f-torsion elements of W. We call the integer $n - n_0$ the *width* of f, written $\mathrm{width}(f)$. We write

$$W_f = \{w \in W : fw = 0\}$$

for the set of these torsion elements. The equality $fw = 0$ gives us a recurrence relation which allows us to express every coefficient of $w = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{A}} w_i T^i$ in terms of (say) $w_1, \ldots, w_{\mathrm{width}(f)}$. In this way we get a natural bijection between W_f and $K^{\mathrm{width}(f)}$ - in particular, W_f is naturally an $\mathrm{width}(f)$-dimensional K-vector space. We want to understand the left and right Newton polygons of elements of W_f in terms of the Newton polygon of f. We cannot hope to describe the Newton polygons of elements of W_f exactly in terms of f since the first $\mathrm{width}(f) - 1$ coefficients of elements of W_f can be arbitrarily chosen. However, it turns out we can find a precise 'asymptotic' description of these Newton polygons.

For the remainder of this section we fix a rank 1 discrete valuation v. We will begin by considering the special case where the Newton polygon $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ has only one gradient (equivalently, all roots of f have the same valuation).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f \in K[T]$ be such that $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ has only one gradient G, and let $w \in W_f$. Then w has good left and right Newton polygons. Moreover, each of $\mathrm{NP}_v^r(w)$ and $\mathrm{NP}_v^l(w)$ have an infinite face with gradient exactly equal to G, the gradient of $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$.*

Proof. This proof is by elementary manipulation of Newton polygons and valuations. We exploit the fact that the relation $fw = 0$ gives a recursion relation between the coefficients of w in terms of the coefficients of f.

Without loss of generality we may assume f_0 is non-zero, so that $\text{width}(f) = \deg(f)$. Replacing K by a finite extension, we may assume that f splits completely in K. Then replacing x by xv^d for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we reduce to the case where the gradient G of f is zero (in other words, all roots of f have valuation zero).

We will prove the lemma for $\text{NP}_v^r(w)$; the other case is similar. Let

$$e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{v(w_i) : 0 \leq i \leq \deg(f) - 1\}.$$

We will show that $\text{NP}_v^r(w)$ has an infinite edge extending horizontally to the right at height e. This is equivalent to showing that

$$\text{liminf}_{i\to\infty} v(w_i) = e.$$

Suppose this does not hold. Then there are two possibilities, either the liminf is greater than e or it is less than e.

Suppose first that $\text{liminf}_{i\to\infty} v(w_i) > e$. Let $N \geq 1$ be the smallest positive integer such that $v(w_n) > e$ for all $n > N$, so in particular $v(w_N) \leq e$. But since $fw = 0$, we have that (writing $d = \deg(f)$)

$$0 = w_N f_d + w_{N+1} f_{d-1} + \cdots + w_{N+d} f_0.$$

But this is impossible, since $v(w_N f_d) = v(w_N) + v(f_d) < v(w_{n+i} f_{d-i})$ for all $i \geq 1$ (consider the shape of $\text{NP}_v(f)$).

On the other hand, suppose that $\text{liminf}_{i\to\infty} v(w_i) < e$. Let $N \geq \deg(f)$ be the smallest positive integer such that $v(w_N) < e$. Then as above we find that

$$0 = w_{N-d} f_d + w_{N-d+1} f_{d-1} + \cdots + w_N f_0,$$

a contradiction since $v(w_N f_0) < e + v(f_0)$ and $v(w_{N-d+i} f_{d-i}) \geq e + v(f_0)$ for all $i \geq 1$. □

Now we will treat the general case, i.e. drop the assumption that the Newton polygon of f has only a single gradient.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $f \in K[T]$, and let $w \in W_f$ be a torsion element. Then*

1. *the left and right Newton polygons of w are both good;*
2. *both Newton polygons have an infinite edge; denote the infinite edge in $\text{NP}_v^r(w)$ by E_r and the one in $\text{NP}_v^l(w)$ by E_l;*

3. *there exists an edge in* $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ *with the same gradient* G_r *as* E_r*, and the same holds for the gradient* G_l *of* E_l*;*

4. *we have an inequality of gradients* $G_r \leq G_l$*.*

Proof. As in lemma 5.6, we may assume f_0 is non-zero and we may replace K by a finite extension and then assume that f splits into linear factors in K. Write $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ where each $\mathrm{NP}_v(f_i)$ has only a single edge with gradient G_i, and assume that $G_1 < G_2 < \cdots < G_r$. All four properties will follow immediately from lemma 5.6 once we show that w can be written as $w = \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i$ with $w_i \in W_{f_i}$.

Write $d = \deg(f)$, $d_i = \deg(f_i)$, so $d = \sum_i d_i$. Now $\dim_K W_f = d$ and $\dim_K W_{f_i} = d_i$. Moreover we have inclusions $W_{f_i} \subseteq W_f$. From the dimensions, we see that to show that the W_{f_i} span W_f it is enough to show that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have that

$$W_{f_i} \cap \sum_{j \neq i} W_{f_j} = \{0\}.$$

But this is immediate by considering the gradients of (right, say) Newton polygons of elements in the W_{f_j}. □

5.4 Newton polygons of crude inverses

Given an element $a \in A$, we want to show that *either* a is not a unit in $A \otimes_R K$, *or* that a can be written in a certain special way (theorem 5.1). Suppose that a is a unit in $A \otimes_R K$, with inverse b. We have a natural map (of A-modules) $\alpha\colon A \otimes_R K \to W$, and so clearly $a\alpha(b) = 1 \in W$. This suggests that to understand inverses in $A \otimes_R K$, we could first try to understand 'inverses' of a in W, namely elements $b \in W$ such that $ab = 1$.

Such an 'inverse' b will not be unique, but any two such inverses b and b' will have that $a(b - b') = 0$, in other words $b - b'$ is a-torsion in W. As such, we will consider the following two problems:

1. given $f \in K[T]$, look for an element $w \in W$ such that $fw = 1$;

2. given $f \in K[T]$, describe all $w \in W$ such that $fw = 0$.

The aim of this section is to resolve item 1. First we need a lemma:

Lemma 5.8. *Let* $n \geq m > 0$ *and* $f = \sum_{i=m}^{n} f_i T^i \in K[T]$ *be a polynomial whose constant coefficient is zero, and such that* f_m *and* f_n *have* $v(f_m) = v(f_n) = 0$*. Set* $g = \sum_{i \geq 1} f^i \in W$ *(note that* g *exists as an element of* W *since* $f_0 = 0$*). Then* $\mathrm{NP}_v^r(g)$ *has an infinite edge which lies along the* $v = 0$ *axis.*

Proof. Write $g = \sum_{i\geq 1} g_i T^i$. We need to show that $\liminf_{i\to\infty} v(g_i) = 0$. In fact, we will show that for any integer $N \geq 1$, at least one of $g_N, g_{N+1}, \cdots, g_{N+n}$ must have $v(g_i) = 0$. Well, let us suppose this is not the case, and seek a contradiction.

Observe that $fg + f = g$. Hence

$$\sum_{i\geq 1} g_i T^i = \sum_{i=m}^{n} f_i T^i + \sum_{i\geq m+1} f_m g_{i-m} T^i + \sum_{i\geq m+2} f_{m+1} g_{i-(m+1)} T^i + \cdots + \sum_{i\geq n+1} f_n g_{i-n} T^i.$$

Equating coefficients of T^i we find that for all $i \geq n+1$,

$$-f_n g_{i-n} = f_m g_{i-m} + f_{m+1} g_{i-(m+1)} + \cdots + f_{n-1} g_{i-n+1} - g_i,$$

and since we have assumed that for some $N \geq 1$, all of $g_N, g_{N+1}, \cdots, g_{N+n}$ have $v(g_i) > 0$, induction (together with $v(f_n) = 0$) implies that $v(g_i) > 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Then comparing coefficients of T^n yields

$$g_n = f_n + f_m g_{n-m} + f_{m+1} g_{n-(m+1)} + \cdots + f_{n-1} g_1,$$

but this is a contradiction since $v(f_n) = 0$. □

Definition 5.9. Let $f \in W$ be an element of finite width. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ has a corner over T^N (i.e. either an end point, or a point where the gradient changes). Write $f = f_N T^N (1 - \tilde{f})$. Define the *$N$-th crude inverse of f* to be

$$\mathrm{CInv}_N(f) = \frac{1}{f_N T^N} \sum_{i\geq 0} \tilde{f}^i \in W$$

Note that $f \,\mathrm{CInv}_N(f) = 1$ formally. Our first task will be to check that $\mathrm{CInv}_N(f)$ converges to give an element of W.

Define G_r to be the gradient of $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ just to the right of the chosen corner (at N), and G_l the gradient just to the left (we allow these to be infinite).

Lemma 5.10. *1. the crude inverse $\mathrm{CInv}_N(f)$ makes sense as an element of W;*

2. the crude inverse $\mathrm{CInv}_N(f)$ has good left and right Newton polygons;

3. if G_r is finite then $\mathrm{NP}_v^r(\mathrm{Cinv}_N(f))$ has an infinite face with gradient G_r, and the same holds with r replaced by l.

Proof. If an endpoint of $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ lies over T^N then item 1 is easy - the coefficient of T^M for any M is always a finite sum. On the other hand, if we drop the condition that $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ has a corner over T^N then item 1 is false; consider for example $f = 1/T + 1 + T$ and $N = 0$.

First, we may without loss of generality assume that $N = 0$ and $f_0 = 1$. We see that:

1. $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f}) \subseteq \mathrm{NP}_v(f)$;
2. every corner of $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ coincides with a corner of $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f})$, except for the corner over T^0;
3. there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the intersection of $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f})$ with the ball $B_\epsilon(0,0)$ of radius ϵ around $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is empty;
4. there exist infinite rays R_l and R_r going from $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to the left and right respectively (with finite gradients) such that $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f})$ is always strictly above $R_l \cup R_r$.

Moreover, we find that $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f}^i)$ is obtained from $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f})$ by scaling away from the origin $(0,0)$ by a factor of i. In particular, fixing some ϵ, R_l and R_r as above, we find that for every $i > 0$:

1. the intersection of $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f}^i)$ with the ball $B_{i\epsilon}(0,0)$ of radius $i\epsilon$ around $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is empty;
2. $\mathrm{NP}_v(\tilde{f}^i)$ is always strictly above $R_l \cup R_r$.

Combining these two properties, we see that for any integer $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, the coefficients of T^M in the infinite sum $\sum_{i \geq 0} \tilde{f}^i$ converge v-adicly, so since K is v-adicly complete we find that $\mathrm{CInv}_N(f)$ is a well-defined element of W and has good left and right Newton polygons.

It remains to prove the third claim (concerning the faces of $\mathrm{NP}_v(\mathrm{CInv}_N(f))$). For this we need a slightly more careful analysis of the Newton polygons of sums of powers of $\tilde{f}$; it is easy to get a lower bound on the gradient of $\mathrm{NP}^r_v(\mathrm{CInv}_N(f))$, but for an upper bound we need to show that certain 'unlikely' cancellations do not occur too often. This will follow from lemma 5.8 after a little work.

After perhaps swapping T and v/T it is enough to treat the right Newton polygons, and after scaling we may also assume that $G_r = 0$. We want to show that $\mathrm{NP}^r_v(\mathrm{CInv}_N(f))$ has an infinite edge lying along the $v = 0$ axis. By assumption, no part of $\mathrm{NP}_v(f)$ goes below the $v = 0$ line, and moreover we may ignore the terms in f whose coefficients have valuation greater than zero. We are then in exactly in the situation of lemma 5.8, and the result follows. □

5.5 Newton polygons of generic units: no intermediate gradients

In this section, we will analyse the Newton polygons of elements $a \in A$ which are invertible in $A \otimes_R K$. By results from section 5.1 it will be sufficient to analyse elements of 'finite width' (more formally, elements $a \in A \subseteq W$ such that for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $T^n a \in K[T]$).

Definition 5.11. Let $a \in A \subseteq W$ be of finite width. Then we say A is *normalised* if $a/T \notin A$ and if also for every non-zero, non-unit element $v \in A$, we have that $a/v \notin A$.

Note that any finite width element $a \in A$ can be turned into a normalised element by dividing by some power of T and some non-zero element of A (here we use that R is regular). On the other hand, note that such a 'normalisation' of a will not in general be unique.

Recall that $r \in R$ is the element such that $A = R[[x, y]]/(xy - r)$.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $a \in A$ be a finite width normalised element, and assume that a is a unit in $A \otimes_R K$. Let v be a generator of a height 1 prime ideal of A. Then no edge of the Newton polygon $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$ can have gradient in the open interval $(-\operatorname{ord}_v(r), 0)$. Note this interval (and hence the condition) is empty if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_v(r) = 0$.*

Proof. By contradiction: suppose there is such an edge, and let $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that

- the Newton polygon $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$ has a corner over T^N;
- the gradient of $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$ just to the right of T^N lies in the interval $(-\operatorname{ord}_v(r), 0)$;
- the gradient of $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$ is strictly *less* than $-\operatorname{ord}_v(r)$ for every edge to the left of T^N (by which we mean to allow the case where the corner lies at the left end of $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$).

Compute the crude inverse $g := \mathrm{CInv}_N(a)$ of a at N. If $a^{-1} \in A \otimes_R K$ is the inverse of a, then a^{-1} can be viewed as an element of W and moreover we see that $w := g - a^{-1} \in W_a$ (i.e. $g - a^{-1}$ is an a-torsion element in W). Let us consider the Newton polygons of g and of w.

By construction, $\mathrm{NP}^l_v(g)$ has an infinite edge of gradient less than $-\operatorname{ord}_v(r)$, and $\mathrm{NP}^r_v(g)$ has an infinite edge of gradient in the open interval $(-\operatorname{ord}_v(r), 0)$. As such, since a^{-1} is in $A \otimes_R K$ and hence lies only a bounded amount below the v-integral line (definition 5.5), we see that $\mathrm{NP}^r_v(w)$ must also have an infinite edge of gradient in the open interval $(-\operatorname{ord}_v(r), 0)$. But by (4) of lemma 5.7, we see that $\mathrm{NP}^l_v(w)$ has an infinite edge of gradient strictly greater than $-\operatorname{ord}_v(r)$, which is a contradiction since then $a^{-1} = g - w$ has left Newton polygon going arbitrarily far below the v-integral line on the left. □

5.6 Proof of the main result on Cartier divisors

Proof of theorem 5.1. Let $a \in A$ be a finite width normalised element with an inverse $a^{-1} \in A \otimes_R K$. Suppose first that the coefficient of T^0 in a is a unit in R.

Then one finds that the crude inverse of a computed at $N = 0$ is in fact an inverse in A, so the result holds.

Suppose conversely that the coefficient of T^0 in a is *not* a unit in R. We will find a contradiction, proving the result we wanted. By the way in which a is normalised (and the fact that $r \notin R^\times$), we can find two valuations on A (we will call them u and v) with certain special properties. Firstly, (and perhaps swapping T and r/T) we choose u such that $\mathrm{NP}_u(a)$ *does* touch the u-integral line strictly to the right of T^0, and does not touch it at or to the left of T^0. Secondly, we find v such that $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$ does touch the v-integral line either at T^0 or to the left of T^0. Now left N be the *largest* (i.e. smallest in absolute value) integer ≤ 0 such that $\mathrm{NP}_v(a)$ touches the v-integral line above T^N. Compute the crude inverse $g := \mathrm{CInv}_N(a)$ of a at N, and consider its Newton polygons with respect to u and v. We find:

1. $\mathrm{NP}^r_u(g)$ goes arbitrarily far below the right u-integral line;
2. $\mathrm{NP}^r_v(g)$ goes arbitrarily far above the right v-integral line;
3. $\mathrm{NP}^l_u(g)$ goes arbitrarily far above the left u-integral line;
4. $\mathrm{NP}^l_v(g)$ stays above the left v-integral line.

By assumption, a is a unit in $A \otimes_R K$; write a^{-1} for its inverse. Let $w = g - a^{-1}$, so $aw = 0$. Condition (1) implies that w is non-zero. Condition (2) implies that $\mathrm{NP}^r_v(w)$ stays asymptotically above the right v-integral line. Combining with item 4 of lemma 5.7, this implies that $\mathrm{NP}^l_v(w)$ goes arbitrarily far below the left v-integral line. But this together with (4) yields a contradiction. □

6 Alignment is equivalent to flatness of closure of unit section in the relative Picard space

In this section we return to our usual situation of a generically-smooth semistable curve C over a base S. We want to understand more about the closure of the unit section in the relative Picard scheme of C/S. In fact, we will show that the closure of the unit section is flat over S if and only if C/S is aligned. In section 7 we will relate the flatness of the closure of the unit section to the existence of Néron models and abel-jacobi maps.

6.1 Test curves

In this preliminary section, we will define 'test curves' in S which we will later use to detect flatness.

Definition 6.1. Given S a scheme, $s \in S$ and $U \subseteq S$ an open subscheme, a *non-degenerate trait in S through s* is a morphism $\varphi : X \to S$ where X is the spectrum of a rank 1 discrete valuation ring, and such that φ maps the closed point of X to s and the generic point of X to a point in U.

Lemma 6.2 (Non-degenerate traits exist)**.** *Let S be a noetherian scheme, $s \in S$ a point, and $U \subseteq S$ a scheme-theoretically-dense open. Then there exists a non-degenerate trait X in S through s.*

Proof. If $\dim \mathcal{O}_{S,s} = 0$ then $s \in U$, so we may take $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[[t]]$, where k is the residue field of s. If $\dim \mathcal{O}_{S,s} = 1$ then we may take $f\colon X \to S$ to be normalisation of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. In general, if $\dim \mathcal{O}_{S,s} > 1$, we may assume S is affine, then replace S by the zero set of some regular element t of the defining ideal of S, reducing the dimension by 1. If t is suitably chosen, then the restriction of U to this closed subscheme will again be scheme-theoretically dense, and we are done by induction on $\dim \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. □

6.2 A natural map to the closure of the unit section

The point of this section is to use the data of an integer weighting of the vertices of the reduction graph Γ_s to define a canonical map from S to the closure of the unit section in the relative Picard scheme $\operatorname{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, in the case where C/S is aligned. Note that in the general situation it is essential that C/S be aligned (this is automatic if S a trait), otherwise no such construction can exist.

Definition 6.3. Let (S, s) be strictly henselian, and let $C \to S$ be semistable, and smooth over some scheme-theoretically-dense open subscheme $U \subseteq S$. An *(integer) vertex labelling of the graph* $\Gamma_s = (V, E, \text{ends})$ is a function $m : V \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\varphi : T \to S$ be a non-degenerate trait through s. We say a vertex labelling m is *T-Cartier* (better: φ-Cartier) if for every edge e in Γ_s with endpoints v_1 and v_2, we have that $m(v_1) - m(v_2)$ is divisible by the thickness of the singular point in the special fibre of C_T corresponding to e.

Given a fibral Weil divisor D on C_T, we define a vertex labelling m of Γ_s by attaching to a vertex v the multiplicity of D along the component corresponding to v.

Lemma 6.4. *In the above notation, let m be a vertex labelling. Then m is T-Cartier if and only if there exists a fibral Cartier divisor D on C_T whose associated labelling is m.*

Proof. [Ray91, page 15]. □

If we suppose that C/S is aligned at s, then from a T-Cartier vertex labelling m we will construct a map from S to the closure of the unit section in the Picard space $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$. To give such a map is to give a line bundle on C/S, trivial over U. To construct this, we will first construct a Weil divisor on C/S, then prove that it is Cartier and hence defines a line bundle.

When the base is a trait and C is regular, one often constructs Cartier divisors on C by taking irreducible components of the special fibre. Is the base is a trait and C is not regular but is semistable, then a similar procedure can be performed taking care of multiplicities - see eg. [Edi98]. In our situation, the base S is regular (but maybe of dimension greater than 1) and C is semistable (but perhaps not regular). We will now carry out the analogous construction of Cartier divisors.

Definition 6.5. We retain the above notation, and write $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$. Let $a \in A$ a non-zero-divisor, non-unit. Let $E(a)$ denote the set of edges of Γ_s whose labels b have the property that the ideal aA is a power of the ideal bA, and let $\Gamma_s(a)$ denote the graph obtained from Γ_s be deleting all the edges in $E(a)$ (note that Γ_s and $\Gamma_s(a)$ have the same vertex sets).

Suppose also that S is regular. We will now give a recipe to associate a Cartier divisor on C to any connected component of $\Gamma_s(a)$. We will then use these Cartier divisors to construct line bundles which will play an essential role in the proof of our main results. First, we need the notion of a 'specialisation map' on labelled graphs. The construction of this map will be carried in detail (and also much greater generality) in [Hol14a, §5], so we only give an outline here.

Recall that S is strictly henselian local. Let $p \in S$ be any point. Then all singular points on the fibre C_p are rational points, and moreover all irreducible components of C_p are geometrically irreducible. As such, we naturally obtain a dual graph Γ_p of C, even though the residue field of p may not be separably closed. Similarly, we find that the labels on the graph Γ_p can be defined in an analogous way to that used in the usual case, and moreover that these labels can be taken to be principal ideals of the Zariski local ring of S at p (whereas usually they live in the étale local ring).

Given two points p, q of S with $p \in \overline{\{q\}}$, we have a canonical injective map

$$\mathrm{sp} \colon \mathcal{O}_{S,p} \to \mathcal{O}_{S,q}.$$

If we replace each label on the graph Γ_p by its image under the map sp, then we obtain a new graph with labels in $\mathcal{O}_{S,q}$. Some of the labels may be units; contract all such edges. Then the resulting graph is canonically isomorphic to the labelled graph Γ_q.

Write $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n$ for the generic points of $\operatorname{Spec} A/a$ - these are height 1 prime ideals in S. Write m_i for the order of vanishing of a at η_i. Let H be a connected

component of the graph $\Gamma_s(a)$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $Z_i^1, \cdots, Z_i^{r_i}$ denote the vertices of Γ_{η_i} which are images under the specialisation map of vertices in H. We can view each Z_i^j as a prime Weil divisor on C.

Definition 6.6. Define a Weil divisor $\operatorname{div}(a; H)$ by

$$\operatorname{div}(a; H) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} Z_i^j.$$

We call such divisors *primitive fibral Cartier divisors*; the name will be justified by the following lemma.

Lemma 6.7. *In the above setup, we have that* $\operatorname{div}(a; H)$ *is a Cartier divisor on* C.

Proof. We may assume S is complete. Since C is noetherian, it is enough to check at closed points. The result is clear at smooth points in the fibre (since smooth over regular implies regular), so it is enough to look at points of C corresponding to edges in Γ_s. Let e be an edge of Γ_s. If e has exactly 0 or 2 endpoints in H (for example, if e is not in $E(a)$) then this is easy; in the first case the divisor $\operatorname{div}(a; H)$ is cut out near the point corresponding to e by the function 1, and in the second case by the function a. We may thus suppose that the edge e is in $E(a)$, and has one vertex v in H and one vertex v' not in H.

Let $b = a^n$ denote the label of e, so the completed local ring at the closed point e on C is isomorphic to

$$R := A[[x, y]]/(xy - b).$$

Setting $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$, let $\varphi\colon X \to C$ be the map given by choosing such an isomorphism. Without loss of generality, assume that the function x vanishes on $\varphi^* v$ and that y vanishes on $\varphi^* v'$ (here we are thinking of v and v' both as vertices of Γ_s and as irreducible components of C_s, to avoid excessive notation).

If we can show that $\varphi^* \operatorname{div}(a; H) = \operatorname{div}_X x^n$ then we are done by section 4. Note that both $\varphi^* \operatorname{div}(a; H)$ and $\operatorname{div} x^n$ are Weil divisors on X supported over $\operatorname{div}_S a$. Consider one of the irreducible components of $\operatorname{div}_S a$, say η_1. Then the specialisation map $\operatorname{sp}\colon \Gamma_s \to \Gamma_{\eta_1}$ does not map v and v' to the same vertex, since (by construction) no edges in $E(a)$ are contracted by the map sp. Write $V = \operatorname{sp} v$ and $V' = \operatorname{sp} v'$, so V and V' are irreducible components of the fibre C_{η_1}.

Writing $\bar{V}$ (resp. $\bar{V}'$) for the Zariski closure of V (resp. of V') in C, we find that $\bar{V} \supseteq v$ and $\bar{V}' \supseteq v'$ (and also $\bar{V} \not\supseteq v'$ and $\bar{V}' \not\supseteq v$), and the same holds after pulling back along φ. From this we see that x^n does not vanish on $\varphi^* \bar{V}'$, and y^n does not vanish on $\varphi^* \bar{V}$. Now on X we have

$$\operatorname{div} a = \operatorname{div} b^n = \operatorname{div} x^n + \operatorname{div} y^n,$$

so we see that x^n vanishes on $\varphi^*\bar{V}$ with the same multiplicity as a (and also y^n vanishes on $\varphi^*\bar{V}'$ with the same multiplicity as a). This shows that $\varphi^* \operatorname{div}(a; H) = \operatorname{div}_X x^n$ as required. □

The key existence result is:

Lemma 6.8. *Notation as above, and now assume also that C/S is aligned at s. Let $\varphi\colon T \to S$ be a non-degenerate trait through s. Let m denote a T-Cartier vertex labelling of Γ_s which takes the value 0 at at least one vertex. Then there exists a Cartier divisor D on C/S, trivial over the generic point of S, such that m is the vertex labelling corresponding to φ^*D.*

Before proving this we need a lemma, and a digression on graph theory.

Lemma 6.9. *Notation C, S, $\varphi\colon T \to S$ as above, $a \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. Define $\Gamma_s(a)$ as in definition 6.5. Let $\mathfrak{G}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{G}_n$ denote the connected components of $\Gamma_s(a)$. Let $0 = c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$ be integers, and let $m : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the vertex labelling given by assigning to a vertex v of Γ_s the integer c_i such that v is contained in $\mathfrak{G}_i$. Assume that the c_i are chosen such that m is T-Cartier.*

Then there exists a Cartier divisor D on C, trivial over the generic point of S, and such that the vertex labelling of φ^*D is m.

Proof. Write ord_T for the normalised valuation on T, and let $r := \operatorname{ord}_T \varphi^* a$. Then the condition that m be T-Cartier is equivalent to the condition that if $b = a^{r'}$ is the label on an edge joining $\mathfrak{G}_i$ to $\mathfrak{G}_j$ then $rr' \mid c_i - c_j$. In particular, we find that $r \mid c_i$ for all i.

Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{r} \operatorname{div}(a; \mathfrak{G}_i)$. Then D is Cartier since it is a sum of Cartier divisors. Now $\varphi^* \operatorname{div}(a; \mathfrak{G}_i)$ has associated vertex labelling taking value r at vertices in $\mathfrak{g}_i$ and value 0 elsewhere. From this we compute that φ^*D has vertex labelling m as desired. □

6.3 Digression on graph theory

Before giving the proof of lemma 6.8, we pause for brief digression on graph theory. Let $G = (V, E, \text{ends})$ be a connected graph, with edges labelled by a set L (i.e. a map $l : E \to L$ is given). We say a function $f : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is *pre-achievable* if there exists a subset B of E such that

- every edge in B has the same label;
- the function f is locally constant on connected components of the graph obtained by deleting every edge in B from G.

We say a function $f : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is *achievable* if it can be written as a (finite) sum of pre-achievable functions. One can show without too much difficulty that, if labels l are constant on circuits in G, then every function $f : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is achievable. However, this is not enough for us; we also need to take into account an analogue of the condition that a vertex labelling be Cartier. In order to translate this notion into graph theory (and forget temporarily the geometric origins of the problem), we will add an additional labelling to the graph G; namely, we will label each edge $e \in E$ by a positive integer $n(e)$ (in addition to the labelling by symbols in L given earlier). We then say that a function $f : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is *Cartier* if for every edge $e \in E$ with endpoints v_1 and v_2, we have that $n(e)$ divides the difference $f(v_1) - f(v_2)$. We say a function f is *Cartier-achievable* if it can be written as a sum of Cartier pre-achievable functions.

Lemma 6.10. *In the setup of the previous paragraph, suppose that the labels in L are constant on circuits in G (we do not require that the integer labellings are constant). Then every Cartier function $f : V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is Cartier-achievable.*

Before proving lemma 6.10, we briefly discuss the notion of a 2-vertex connected component of a graph G. Observe that any edge of a graph G is contained in a 2-vertex connected subgraph (namely itself), and further that for any two 2-vertex-connected subgraphs H_1 and H_2 containing the same edge e, we have that $H_1 \cup H_2$ is also 2-vertex connected. As such, any edge of G is contained in a unique maximal 2-connected subgraph. In particular, if G contains no isolated vertices then G is the union of its maximal 2-vertex-connected subgraphs.

Proof of lemma 6.10. We will inductively pick a sequence of subgraphs

$$H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_n = G$$

and Cartier pre-achievable functions $f_1, \cdots, f_n$ on G such that for all i, we have that $f|_{H_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} f_i|_{H_i}$.

Pick H_1 to be some 2-vertex-connected component of G. Then pick H_{i+1} to be the union of H_i with some 2-vertex-connected component G_i of G such that $G_i \cap H_i$ consists of exactly one vertex. Since G is connected, we see that this procedure eventually exhausts the whole of G.

Define f_i by

$$f_i(v) = \begin{cases} f(v) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j(v) \text{ if } v \in H_i; \\ f(v') - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j(v') \text{ if } v \notin H_i \end{cases},$$

where v' is chosen to be the unique vertex in H_i such that v and v' are connected in the graph obtained from G by removing every edge in H_i.

One can then see that each function f_i is Cartier and pre-achievable, and so f is Cartier-achievable. □

Proof of lemma 6.8. Let L denote the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times$ by the equivalent relation saying that $[a] = [b]$ if and only if $a^n = ub^m$ for some unit $u \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$ and integers m, $n > 0$. Composing our usual labelling of Γ_s by $\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times$ with the natural map $\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times \to L$ gives a labelling of the edges of Γ_s by L. We will also label the edges by integers; for this, we will make a map from $\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times$ to $\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, by sending an element $[a]$ to $\operatorname{ord}_T \varphi^* a$. Note that all of our edges will be labelled by positive integers. We then see that a vertex labelling of Γ_s is T-Cartier if and only if it is Cartier in the graph-theory sense.

By the assumption that C/S is aligned, the graph Γ_s has the property that the labels (with values in L) are constant on circuits. As such, by lemma 6.10 the T-Cartier vertex labelling m can be written as a sum of pre-achievable T-Cartier functions. It therefore suffices to consider the case where m is a pre-achievable T-Cartier function (taking the value 0 at some vertex). We can then apply lemma 6.9 to see that the required divisor D exists. □

Note that the induced map $S \to \operatorname{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is the unit section over U. Since $U \subseteq S$ is scheme-theoretically dense by assumption, the induced map factors via the closure of the unit section as desired.

6.4 Non-aligned curves, and non-existence of certain Cartier divisors

Lemma 6.11. *Let S be a regular scheme, $U \subseteq S$ a dense open subscheme, and let C/S a semistable curve, smooth over U. Assume that C/S is* not *aligned. Then there exist a non-degenerate trait $\varphi : T \to S$ through s and a Cartier divisor D on C_T, trivial over the generic point, such that there does* not *exist a Cartier divisor E on C/S, trivial over U and such that $\varphi^* E$ is linearly equivalent to D.*

Proof. We may assume that S is complete, local and has separably closed residue field, so in particular every irreducible component $\mathfrak{C}_0, \cdots, \mathfrak{C}_n$ of C_s has a section σ_i through its smooth locus.

Let $\mathfrak{C}_i$ and $\mathfrak{C}_j$ be two distinct components which meet at some non-smooth point p, whose completed local ring in C is isomorphic to

$$R := \mathcal{O}_{S,s}[[x, y]]/(xy - a)$$

for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. A Cartier divisor E on C is given locally near p by an element $r \in (\operatorname{Frac} R)^\times$. Let $f_i \in \operatorname{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{S,s})$ be such that $\operatorname{div} f_i = \sigma_i^* E$ (and similarly for j).

If we assume that E trivial over U, I claim there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_i = a^n f_j$ (up to multiplication by units in $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$).

Our next aim is to establish the claim. To fix notation, let us assume that x vanishes on $\mathfrak{C}_i$, and y vanishes on $\mathfrak{C}_j$. Firstly, we reduce to the case where E is effective by adding to E the pullback of some effective Cartier divisor on S. By theorem 5.1 we find $b_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$, $u_0 \in R^\times$ and m_0, $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $r = b_0 u_0 x^{m_0} y^{n_0}$. Then subtracting from E the pullback to C of $\sigma_i^* E$, we may assume that $\sigma_i^* E = 0$, so we may take $f_i = 1$. Now the image of E in $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is cut out by an element of the form $bux^m y^n$ with $b \in \operatorname{Frac}(O_{S,s})$, $u \in R^\times$ and m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Considering E as a Weil divisor (and using that $\sigma_i^* E = 0$) we may assume that b is a unit and that $m = 0$. But then (again looking at Weil divisors) the only possibility is that E is cut out by y^n. Now write $a = \prod_i q_i^{\epsilon_i}$, where q_i are irreducible elements of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ and $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we may write

$$f_j = f_j / f_i = a^r c \prod_i q_i^{\delta_i},$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ is coprime to a, and the δ_i are such that $a \nmid \prod_i q_i^{\delta_i}$. One can show without difficulty that c must be a unit, so it remains to show that $\prod_i q_i^{\delta_i} = 1$. Note that (q_i, x) and (q_i, y) are height-1 prime ideals of R. We find that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,y)} E = r\epsilon_i + \delta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,x)} E = 0.$$

On the other hand, we compute that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,y)} y = \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,y)} a = \epsilon_i, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,y)} x = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,yx)} y = 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,x)} x = \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,x)} a = \epsilon_i.$$

Combining these with the fact that $E|_R = \operatorname{div} y^n$, we find that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,x)} E = \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,x)} y^n = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,y)} E = \operatorname{ord}_{(q_i,y)} y^n = n\epsilon_i,$$

so

$$r\epsilon_i + \delta_i = n\epsilon_i$$

for every i, so in fact $r = n$ and $\delta_i = 0$. This establishes the claim.

By assumption that C/S is non-aligned, there is a circuit in the labelled graph Γ_s with the property that the labels around the circuit do not satisfy any non-trivial multiplicative relation. To simplify the notation, let us pretend that Γ_s consists

only of such a circuit, with the vertices $\mathfrak{C}_0, \cdots, \mathfrak{C}_n$ arranged in order around it, and write a_i for the label of the edge joining $\mathfrak{C}_i$ to $\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$ (working modulo $n+1$).

Without loss of generality, let us assume that the labels a_0 and a_1 are not related - more precisely, that no non-trivial multiplicative relation holds between the principal ideals a_0 and a_1 of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. We will now define a suitable divisor D on C_T: let $d = (\operatorname{ord}_T a_0)(\operatorname{ord}_T a_1)$, and set $D = d\mathfrak{C}_1$. We see easily that D is Cartier. Now suppose a divisor E as in the hypotheses does exist. We may also assume that $(\sigma_0)_T^* D = 0$ and $\sigma_0^* E = 0$. With this assumption, note that if $\varphi^* E$ is linearly equivalent to D then it is equal to D. Let $e_0, \cdots, e_n \in \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ be such that $\operatorname{div} e_i = \sigma_i^* E$. Then e_0 is the unit ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ by assumption. Moreover, we find that e_2 is the unit ideal, and that

$$e_1 = a_0^{\operatorname{ord}_T a_1} e_0 \quad \text{and} \quad e_1 = a_1^{\operatorname{ord}_T a_2} e_2.$$

Multiplying out, this yields that

$$a_1^{\operatorname{ord}_T a_2} = a_0^{\operatorname{ord}_T a_1},$$

but this is a non-trivial multiplicative relation between a_0 and a_1, contradicting our assumptions. □

6.5 Alignment is equivalent to flatness of the closure of the unit section in the relative Picard space

We recall the definition and some basic properties of the relative Picard space as in [BLR90].

Definition 6.12. ([BLR90, 8.1.2]) Let S be a scheme, and X/S an S-scheme. We define the *relative Picard functor of X over S* to be the fppf-sheaf associated to the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sch}_S &\to \mathrm{Sets} \\ T &\mapsto \operatorname{Pic}(X \times_S T). \end{aligned}$$

We denote it by $\operatorname{Pic}_{X/S}$. There is an obvious factorisation of this functor via abelian groups.

Given a test scheme T/S, it is often useful to have a concrete way to represent elements of $\operatorname{Pic}_{X/S}$. The simplest way to do this is to assume that X/S has a section, in which case the natural sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Pic}(T) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X \times_S T) \to \operatorname{Pic}_{X/S}(T) \to 0$$

is exact [BLR90, 8.1.4]. One can use rigificators to make this even more explicit [BLR90, 8.1].

There are numerous results on representability of the relative Picard functor by a scheme or an algebraic space. We are interested in the relative Picard functor of C/S where C/S is a semistable curve. In this case $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ is a smooth quasi-separated algebraic space over S ([BLR90, 8.3.4] and [BLR90, 9.4.1]). Moreover, the fibre-wise connected component of the identity (denoted $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C/S}$) is a separated S-scheme [BLR90, 9.4.1]. Note that over a geometric point $s \in S$ the fibre $(\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C/S})_s$ coincides with the sub-functor of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C_s/s}$ consisting of line bundles having degree zero on every irreducible component of the fibre C_s.

Suppose in addition to the above that C/S is smooth over some scheme-theoretically dense open subscheme $U \subseteq S$. Another important sub functor of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ is the open space $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ of 'line bundles of total degree zero'. We define $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ to be the scheme-theoretic closure in $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ of $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C/S}$. Its name is justified by the fact that it parametrises line bundles of degree zero on every fibre. To see this, note first that the subfunctor of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ consisting of line bundles having degree zero on every fibre is an open and closed subfunctor. As such, it suffices to show that the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C/S}$ is large enough to contain every line bundle of fibrewise-degree zero. This can be checked using test curves in S.

Theorem 6.13. *Let (S, s) be an integral noetherian local scheme, let $U \subseteq S$ dense open, and C/S a semistable curve, smooth over U. If C/S is aligned then the closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is flat over S. If S is regular, then the converse also holds.*

The author does not know whether the hypothesis that S be regular can be removed from the second part; it is present to allow theorem 5.1 to be applied.

Proof. As flatness is étale local on the target we may assume C/S has a section through the smooth locus, so that points of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ may be represented by (rigidified) line bundles. We may even assume that the smooth locus of C/S admits sections through every connected component of every fibre, so that C/S is projective. Further, this implies that every irreducible component of every fibre is geometrically irreducible, so that $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ is a scheme by [BLR90, theorem 8.2.2].

Suppose first that C/S is aligned. Write E for the closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, and let $e \in E$ be a point. By lemma 6.14, it is enough to construct a section from S through e in E. First, let $\varphi\colon T \to E$ be a non-degenerate trait through e; so the generic point of T maps to U, and the closed point maps to e (such T exists by lemma 6.2). Composing with the structure map from E to S, we obtain a non-degenerate trait $\varphi_S\colon T \to S$ and a semistable curve C_T/T by pullback. Then the map φ corresponds to a (rigidified) line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on C_T/T which is trivial over $\varphi_S^{-1}U$. To show that E admits a section over S through e, we will construct a line bundle $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ on C/S such that $\varphi_S^*\overline{\mathscr{L}} = \mathscr{L}$ and such that $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ is trivial over U.

Choosing a rational section of $\mathscr{L}$, trivial over $\varphi_S^{-1}U$, we in turn obtain a Cartier divisor D on the relative curve C_T, with the property that the restriction of D to the generic fibre is zero. We will construct a Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ on C/S which pulls back to D over T, then define $\overline{\mathscr{L}} = \mathcal{O}_C(\overline{D})$ (we can take care of rigidifications after the event).

From D we obtain a T-Cartier vertex labelling on Γ_s as in definition 6.3. Without loss of generality, we may assume that this Cartier vertex labelling takes the value 0 somewhere. Then by lemma 6.8 we find a Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ on C as required.

Suppose conversely that C/S is not aligned; we will show E is not flat. By lemma 6.11, we find a non-degenerate trait T in S through s and a Cartier divisor D on C_T, zero over the generic point of T, and such that there does not exist a Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ on C/S which pulls back to a divisor linearly equivalent to D. Now $\mathcal{O}_{C_T}(D)$ gives a map $T \to \mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, and it maps the generic point of T to a point in the unit section. Since E is closed in $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, the valuative criterion for properness (applied to $E \rightarrowtail \mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$) then shows that the image of T is contained in E. Write t for the closed point of T and $\varphi : T \to E$ for the given map.

Suppose now that E is flat over S; we will derive a contradiction. By lemma 6.14 we find a section σ of $E \to S$ through $\varphi(t)$. This section corresponds to a Cartier divisor $\overline{D}$ on C/S. This divisor is zero over U. Since $E_U \to U$ is an isomorphism, we find that the generic point of T also maps to the image of σ. Since T is reduced, we deduce that $\varphi : T \to E$ factors via σ. As such, we find that the pullback of $\overline{D}$ to T is linearly equivalent to the divisor D, a contradiction. □

Lemma 6.14. *Let S be an integral noetherian scheme, and $U \subseteq S$ dense open. Let $f\colon X \to S$ a quasi-separated finite-type morphism of schemes which is an isomorphism over U and such that $f^{-1}U$ is scheme-theoretically dense in X. Let $x \in X$ be a point. The following are equivalent:*

1. *f is flat at x;*
2. *there exists an open neighbourhood V of $f(x)$ in S and a section $\sigma\colon V \to X$ through x.*

Proof. 1 $\implies$ 2) Shrinking, we may assume f is surjective and both X and S are affine, so f is also separated. Then by [Lüt93, lemma 2.0] we find that f is an isomorphism, in particular it has a section through x.

2 $\implies$ 1) Shrinking, we may again assume X and $S = V$ are affine so f separated. The image of S in X is a closed subscheme (by separatedness of X/S), and contains the scheme-theoretically-dense subscheme $f^{-1}U$. Hence the image of S in X is the whole of X, so f is an isomorphism and hence flat. □

7 Flatness of closure of the unit section in the Picard space is equivalent to existence of a Néron model

Lemma 7.1 (Néron models satisfy smooth descent)**.** *Let S be a separated scheme, $U \subseteq S$ a scheme-theoretically-dense open, and $f\colon S' \to S$ a smooth surjective separated morphism. Let A/U be an abelian scheme. Suppose f^*A has a Néron model N' over S'. Then A has a Néron model N over S, and moreover $f^*N = N'$.*

Proof. A Néron model is unique if it exists, by the universal property. Moreover, the pullback of a Néron model along a smooth morphism is again a Néron model. Combining this with the effectivity of descent for algebraic spaces [Sta13, Tag 0ADV], we deduce that a Néron model can always be descended from a smooth cover. Moreover, using again the uniqueness part of the Néron mapping property, the fact that a morphism to a separated scheme is determined by what is does on a scheme-theoretically-dense open, and descent, we can check that a 'descended' Néron model again satisfies the Néron mapping property. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let S be a separated scheme, $U \subseteq S$ a scheme-theoretically dense open subscheme, and $f\colon C \to S$ a semistable curve which is smooth over U. Write $\mathscr{P}^{[0]}$ for the closure of $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C_U/U}$ in $\mathscr{P} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$, write e for its unit section, and $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$ for the closure of e in $\mathscr{P}^{[0]}$ (or equivalently in $\mathscr{P}$). Write J for the jacobian of the smooth proper curve C_U/U.*

1. *If C is regular and $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$ is flat over S, then a Néron model for J exists.*
2. *Suppose S is integral, normal, locally Noetherian. If a Néron model for J exists, then $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$ is flat over S.*

Remark 7.3.
- It is not really necessary for C to be regular; it would for example suffice to have C_T factorial for every smooth morphism $T \to S$.
- A slightly different approach to proving part 1 of this result (suggested to the author by Kęstutis Česnavičius) would be to apply the theory of parafactorial pairs [Gro67, 21.13].
- We note that there is a canonical isomorphism from the fibrewise-connected-component-of-identity of the Néron model to that of the relative Picard space. The latter is a scheme [BLR90, 9.4.1], and hence so is the former.

Proof. For (1), we first observe that the quotient of $\mathscr{P}^{[0]}$ by $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$ exists as an algebraic space since $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$ is flat over S; we denote this quotient by $\mathscr{N}$. It is in

a canonical way a group scheme over S. We will show that $\mathscr{N}$ is the Néron model of J. Combining lemma 7.1 with the fact that C/S admits sections étale locally (since the smooth locus of C/S meets every fibre), we may assume that C/S has a section.

Note that $J = \mathscr{P}^{[0]}_U = \mathscr{N}_U$. Since $\mathscr{N}$ is separated (as its unit section is a closed immersion), the uniqueness part of the Néron mapping property is automatic; we need to show existence. Let $T \to S$ be a smooth morphism of spaces, and $T_U \to \mathscr{N}_U$ any S-morphism. Let $T' \to T$ be an étale morphism, with T' a scheme. Composing, we obtain a morphism $T'_U \to \mathscr{P}^{[0]}_U$.

Since C/S admits a section, the Picard functor coincides with the rigidified Picard functor (rigidified along that section), so in particular there exists a line bundle $\mathscr{F}$ on $T'_U \times_U C_U$ such that the map $T'_U \to \mathscr{P}^{[0]}_U$ is given by that line bundle. Let D be a Cartier divisor on $T'_U \times_U C_U$ such that $\mathscr{O}(D) \cong \mathscr{F}$.

Since $T' \to S$ is smooth and C is regular, we see that $T' \times_S C$ is regular [Sta13, Tag 036D]. Let $\bar{D}$ denote the componentwise-closure of D in $T' \times_S C$. A-priori this is a Weil divisor, but by regularity it is in fact Cartier, so that $\mathscr{O}(\bar{D})|_U = \mathscr{O}(D) \cong \mathscr{F}$. Let $\bar{\mathscr{F}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathscr{O}(\bar{D})$, then $\bar{\mathscr{F}}|_U = \mathscr{F}$. This sheaf $\bar{\mathscr{F}}$ defines a morphism $T' \to \mathscr{P}^{[0]}$, and by composition a morphism $T' \to \mathscr{N}$, which coincides with the original map $T'_U \to \mathscr{N}_U$ upon restriction to U. Finally, we must descend this to a map $T \to \mathscr{N}$, but this follows from the uniqueness part of the Néron mapping property. This proves the existence part of the Néron mapping property.

To complete the proof of (1), we must show that $\mathscr{N}$ is smooth over S. We know that $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is smooth and is an fppf cover of $\mathscr{N}$, so we deduce that N is smooth.

For (2), write $\mathscr{N}$ for the (smooth) Néron model of J. As in the proof of theorem 6.13, after base-change to an étale cover we may assume that $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ is a scheme. We have the identity $\mathscr{P}^{[0]}_U = \mathscr{N}_U$, and hence by smoothness of $\mathscr{P}^{[0]}$ over S and the Néron mapping property, we get a canonical map $\varphi\colon \mathscr{P}^{[0]} \to \mathscr{N}$.

Suppose for a moment that the map φ is flat. Write K for the kernel of φ; then the canonical map $K \to S$ is also flat, and the canonical map $K \to \mathscr{P}^{[0]}$ is a closed immersion since $\mathscr{N}$ is separated (and so K contains $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$). Then by lemma 7.4, we see that $\mathrm{clo}_{\mathscr{P}}(e)$ is flat over S.

As such, it suffices to show that φ is flat. We will in fact show that it is smooth. We first consider the restriction φ_0 of φ to the fibrewise-connected components of the identity sections, denoted $\mathscr{P}^0$ and $\mathscr{N}^0$. These are both smooth separated group algebraic spaces of finite presentation over S and with connected fibres, and $\mathscr{P}^0$ is semi-abelian. Clearly φ_0 is an isomorphism over U. As such[7], [SGA72, IX, proposition 3.1 (e)] implies that φ_0 is an open immersion, in particular smooth.

[7]Here we apply the result to a morphism of spaces, when the reference given proves it for schemes. It turns out that the same proof works for spaces.

Now applying lemma 7.5, we see that φ is smooth. □

Lemma 7.4. *Let S be a scheme, $f : X \to S$ and $g : Y \to S$ surjective morphisms of schemes, and $\psi\colon X \to Y$ a closed immersion over S. Suppose also that g is flat and locally of finite presentation. Let $U \subseteq S$ a scheme-theoretically dense open immersion, and assume that f and g are both isomorphisms over U. Then f is flat.*

Proof. Flatness is Zariski local, and scheme-theoretic density is preserved under flat base-change, so we may assume that X, Y and S are all affine, in particular the maps f, g and ψ are separated. By [Lüt93, lemma 2.0] we deduce that g is an isomorphism.

Identifying Y and S, we have that $f : X \to S$ is surjective, a closed immersion, and is an isomorphism over U. Scheme-theoretic density of U in S now implies that f is actually an isomorphism. In particular, f is flat as desired. □

Lemma 7.5. *Let S be a scheme, and $f\colon G \to H$ a morphism of S-group algebraic spaces, with G/S smooth. Let G^0 and H^0 denote the fibre-wise connected components of identity. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a property of morphisms of spaces that is preserved by base-change, closed under composition, source-local for the smooth topology, and such that open immersions have $\mathbf{P}$. Suppose that both $G \to S$ and the the induced morphism $f^0\colon G^0 \to H^0$ have $\mathbf{P}$. Then f has $\mathbf{P}$.*

Proof. Let $p \in G$ be any point. Suppose there exists $T \to S$ a morphism of spaces with the following properties:

- $T \to S$ is smooth surjective and has $\mathbf{P}$;
- there exists a section $\sigma\colon T \to G_T$ whose image contains a point q which maps to p under the natural projection $G_T \to G$.

Since formation of fibre-wise connected-components and the property $\mathbf{P}$ are both preserved by base-change, we find that the induced map $(G^0)_T = (G_T)^0 \to (H^0)_T = (H_T)^0$ has $\mathbf{P}$. Define a section $\tau = \sigma \circ f_T \in H_T(T)$. Then addition of σ induces an automorphism $[+\sigma]$ of G_T, addition of τ induces an automorphism $[+\tau]$ of H_T, and moreover the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_T & \xrightarrow{f_T} & H_T \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle [+\sigma]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [+\tau]} \\ G_T & \xrightarrow[f_T]{} & H_T. \end{array}$$

Write $\sigma + G_T^0$ for the image of G_T^0 under $[+\sigma]$ and similarly for H. Note that $\sigma + G_T^0$ is an open neighbourhood of q. We obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_T^0 & \xrightarrow{f_T^0} & H_T^0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle [+\sigma]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [+\tau]} \\ \sigma + G_T^0 & \longrightarrow & \tau + H_T^0. \end{array}$$

In this diagram, the vertical arrows are isomorphisms, and f_T^0 has $\mathbf{P}$ by assumption, hence the bottom arrow has $\mathbf{P}$. The inclusion $\tau + H_T^0 \to H_T$ is an open immersion (and so has $\mathbf{P}$), and hence the composite $\sigma + G_T^0 \to H_T$ has $\mathbf{P}$. Write $U = \sigma + G_T^0$. We have an open neighbourhood U of q such that the map induced by f_T from U to H_T has $\mathbf{P}$.

Write $\pi_G \colon G \times_S T \to G$ and $\pi_H \colon H \times_S T \to H$ for the projections; both are smooth surjective and have $\mathbf{P}$. Note that the image $\pi_G(U) \subseteq G$ is open in G. We obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & H_T \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_G|_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_H} \\ \pi_G(U) & \longrightarrow & H. \end{array}$$

Here both vertical arrows are smooth surjective, the top arrow has $\mathbf{P}$, and the right vertical arrow has $\mathbf{P}$. Since $\mathbf{P}$ is preserved under composition and is smooth-local on the source, this implies that $\pi_G(U) \to H$ has $\mathbf{P}$. But note that $p \in \pi_G(U)$ by construction, so p has a smooth neighbourhood on which the induced morphism to H has $\mathbf{P}$.

Using again that $\mathbf{P}$ is smooth-local on the source, it is enough to construct such a space T, section σ, and point q for every point $p \in G$. However, this is easy; simply take $G = T$, $q = (p, p) \in G \times_S G$, and σ to be the diagonal. □

Corollary 7.6. *Let S be a separated excellent scheme, regular in codimension 1, and let $U \subseteq S$ be dense open and regular. Let C/S a semistable curve which is smooth over U. Then there exists an open subscheme $U \subseteq V \subseteq S$ such that the complement of V in S has codimension at least 2 in S and such that the Jacobian of C_U/U admits a finite-type Néron model over V, whose identity component is a quasi-projective V-scheme.*

Proof. Alignment outside codimension 2 is clear from the definition. Existence of the Néron model follows immediately from theorem 7.2 once we show that C/S has a regular model outside some codimension 2 subscheme of S; the latter is proposition 7.7. That the Néron model is of finite type follows from proposition 8.1,

and that the identity component is a quasi-projective scheme holds since the same is true of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$, which has the same identity component as the Néron model whenever they both exist. □

Proposition 7.7. *Let S, U, C be as in the statement of corollary 7.6. Then there exist:*

- *an open subscheme $U \subseteq V \subseteq S$ whose complement has codimension at least 2;*
- *a modification (proper birational map) $\tilde{C} \to C_V$ which is an isomorphism over U*

such that $\tilde{C}$ is regular and $\tilde{C} \to V$ is semistable.

Proof. Throwing away codimension 2 loci, we reduce immediately to the case where S is regular, and $S \setminus U$ is strict normal-crossings-divisor. By [dJ96, lemma 5.2], there exists a projective modification of semistable curves $\tilde{C} \to C$ which is an isomorphism over U, and such that $\tilde{C}$ is regular outside some locus of codimension 3 in $\tilde{C}$. The image of the locus has codimension at least 2 in S; throwing it away, we are done. □

8 The Néron model is of finite type

Proposition 8.1. *Let S be a separated regular scheme, C/S semistable and aligned, and smooth over some dense open $U \subseteq S$. Assume that C is regular. Let N/S denote the Néron model of the jacobian of C_U/U (which exists by theorem 7.2). Then N/S is of finite type.*

Proof. By definition we have that N is smooth, in particular it is locally of finite type. It remains to check that N is quasi-compact (note that $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is not quasi-compact in general, so we cannot apply the same argument that we used to prove smoothness in theorem 7.2).

After étale base-change, we may assume C/S has a section through the smooth locus, so we can describe the Néron model using the Picard functor.

Since $N \to S$ is flat and locally of finite type, it is open. By lemma 8.2 and [Sta13, Lemma 03E4], it is enough to show that $N \to S$ has quasi-compact fibres. We will show this using test curves. Let $s \in S$ a point, and let $\varphi\colon T \to S$ be a non-degenerate trait in S through s (so writing t for the closed point of T, we have that $\varphi(t) = s$ and that C_T/T is generically smooth).

We know the formation of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$ commutes with base change. We need to understand a bit about how the formation of $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$, of the closure E of the unit

section, and hence of the Néron model, behave with respect to base change. Now $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S}$ is defined as the closure of the jacobian of C_U/U inside $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/S}$, and E as the closure of the unit section. The former is always flat, and the latter is flat by assumption that C/S is aligned. Because of this flatness, it follows that the operations of 'taking closure' commute with base-change, so that

$$\varphi^* \mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S} = \mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C_T/T},$$

and $\varphi^* E$ is the closure of the unit section in $\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C_T/T}$.

Suppose for a moment that C_T is regular. Then

$$\varphi^* \left(\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S} / E \right) = \mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C_T/T} / \varphi^* E$$

is the Néron model of the jacobian of C over the generic point of T, and so is of finite type since C_T/T is generically smooth. In particular, the fibre over the closed point is of finite type and we are done.

Now we must understand what happens in the general case, when C_T is not regular. We again have the equality

$$\varphi^* \left(\mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C/S} / E \right) = \mathrm{Pic}^{[0]}_{C_T/T} / \varphi^* E$$

but the right-hand-side is not in general the Néron model of its generic fibre. However, it is in fact an open sub-group-scheme of the Néron model of its generic fibre (see [Edi98]), and so in particular is of finite type, so the fibre over the closed point is quasi-compact and we are done. □

Lemma 8.2. *Let $f\colon X \to S$ a map of topological spaces which is open and has quasi-compact fibres. Then f is quasi-compact.*

Proof. We may assume S is quasi-compact, and need to show X is also. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ an open cover of X. For each $s \in S$, choose a finite subset $I_s \subseteq I$ such that $\{U_i\}_{i\in I_s}$ covers X_s (possible since X_s quasi-compact). Set

$$V_s = \cap_{i\in I_s} f(U_i).$$

Then the V_s form an open cover of S (since f is open), so a finite subset of the V_s cover S be quasi-compactness of S. The finite union of the corresponding finite sets I_s gives a finite sub-cover of $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$. □

9 Non-aligned implies multiples of sections do not lift even after proper surjective base change

We have shown that the jacobian of a non-aligned semistable curve does not admit a Néron model, even after an alteration of the base. On the other hand, Gabber's

lemma [Del85] shows that any abelian scheme admits a semi-abelian prolongation after alteration[8] of the base.

As a result, it is perhaps reasonable to hope that the situation is better if one does not attempt to construct a Néron model but rather considers only one section at a time. Given a scheme S, a dense open subscheme U, an abelian scheme A/U, and a section $\sigma \in A(U)$, one can ask whether there exists a semi-abelian prolongation $\mathscr{A}/S$ such that some multiple of σ extends to a section in $\mathscr{A}(S)$, at least after replacing S by an alteration. A positive answer to this question was incorrectly claimed by the author in a previous version of this paper - the error was pointed out by José Burgos Gil. In this section, we provide an example to show that such a semi-abelian prolongation prolonging σ will not in general exist even after proper surjective base-change of S.

Construct a stable 2-pointed curve over $\mathbb{C}$ by glueing two copies of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ at $(0:1)$ and $(1:0)$, and marking the point $(1:1)$ on each copy. Then define C/S to be the universal deformation as a 2-pointed stable curve. Choose coordinates such that $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[x,y]]$, and C is smooth over the open subset $U = D(xy)$. Call the sections p and q.

Now the graph over the closed point of S is a 2-gon, with one edge labelled by (x) and the other by (y). The graph over the generic point of $(x=0)$ is a 1-gon with edge labelled by (y), and similarly the graph over the generic point of $(y=0)$ is a 1-gon with edge labelled by (x). All other fibres are smooth. In particular, C/S is aligned except at the closed point.

Let J/U denote the jacobian of C_U/U, and write $\sigma = [p-q] \in J(U)$. A *pointed semi-abelian prolongation* consists of

- a proper surjective morphism $f\colon S' \to S$;
- a semi-abelian scheme $\mathscr{A}/S'$;
- an isomorphism $\mathscr{A}|_{f^{-1}U} \to f^*J$;
- an integer $n \geq 1$;
- a section $\tau \in \mathscr{A}(S')$;

such that $\tau|_{f^{-1}U}$ extends $nf^*\sigma$.

Proposition 9.1. *No pointed semi-abelian prolongation exists.*

[8] The statement in [Del85] requires a proper surjective morphism rather than just an alteration. However, the same proof yields the result after an alteration by replacing the reference to [DM69, 4.11, 4.12] in lemme 1.6 by a reference to [LMB00, théorème 16.6].

Proof. Suppose a pointed semi-abelian prolongation is given. Let X be a prime divisor on S' lying over the prime divisor (x) of S such that f^*C is not aligned at some geometric point $p \in X$ (exists by lemma 2.22). The graph of f^*C over p is a 2-gon, with one edge labelled by X. Write Z for the label of the other edge. Let $\varphi_i \colon T_i \to S'$ be a sequence of non-degenerate traits in S' through P such that $\operatorname{ord}_{T_i} X = 1$ for all i, and such that $\operatorname{ord}_{T_i} Z$ tends to infinity as i tends to infinity. For each i, the pullback $(\varphi_i \circ f)^* J$ admits a Néron model; write σ_i for the extension of the section σ given by the Néron mapping property. Then a simple calculation shows that the order of σ_i in the component group of the Néron model of $(\varphi_i \circ f)^* J$ tends to infinity with i. This contradicts the existence of a pointed semi-abelian prolongation. □

References

[BHdJ14] Owen Biesel, David Holmes, and Robin de Jong. Néron models and the height jump divisor. *In preparation*, 2014.

[BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. *Néron models*. Springer, 1990.

[Cal86] Gregory Scott Call. *Local heights on families of abelian varieties*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1986.

[Del85] Pierre Deligne. Le lemme de Gabber. *Astérisque*, (127):131–150, 1985. Seminar on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture (Paris, 1983/84).

[dJ96] Aise Johan de Jong. Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (83):51–93, 1996.

[DM69] Pierre Deligne and David Mumford. The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):75–109, 1969.

[Edi98] Bas Edixhoven. On Néron models, divisors and modular curves. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 13(2):157–194, 1998.

[Gre89] William Green. Heights in families of abelian varieties. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 58(3):617–632, 1989.

[Gro65] Alexander Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (24):231, 1965.

[Gro67] Alexander Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.

[Hai13] Richard Hain. Normal functions and the geometry of moduli spaces of curves. In G. Farkas and I. Morrison, editors, *Handbook of Moduli, Volume I. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Volume XXIV*. International Press, Boston, 2013.

[Hol14a] David Holmes. A Néron model of the universal jacobian. *http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.2243*, 2014.

[Hol14b] David Holmes. A note on Néron models, heights and torsion points. *Available at* *http://pub.math.leidenuniv.nl/~holmesdst/*, 2014.

[Lan83] Serge Lang. *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[Liu02] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[LMB00] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly. Champs algébriques, volume 39 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], 2000.

[Lüt93] Werner Lütkebohmert. On compactification of schemes. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 80(1):95–111, 1993.

[Nér64] André Néron. Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux et globaux. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ.Math. No.*, 21:128, 1964.

[Ray91] Michel Raynaud. Jacobienne des courbes modulaires et opérateurs de Hecke. *Astérisque*, (196-197):9–25 (1992), 1991. Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura (Orsay, 1987/1988).

[RG71] Michel Raynaud and Laurent Gruson. Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de "platification" d'un module. *Invent. Math.*, 13:1–89, 1971.

[Sch12] Christian Schnell. Complex analytic Néron models for arbitrary families of intermediate Jacobians. *Invent. Math.*, 188(1):1–81, 2012.

[SGA72] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 288. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I), Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim.

[Sil83] Joseph H. Silverman. Heights and the specialization map for families of abelian varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 342:197–211, 1983.

[Sta13] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks project. `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2013.

[Tat83] John Tate. Variation of the canonical height of a point depending on a parameter. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(1):287–294, 1983.